DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 408 934 HE 030 279

AUTHOR Howe, Richard D.

TITLE Salary-Trend Studies of Faculty for the Years 1992-93 and

1995-96 in the Following Academic Disciplines/Major Fields:

History, General... Visual and Performing Arts.

INSTITUTION Appalachian Consortium, Inc., Boone, N.C.; College and Univ.

Personnel Association, Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE 96

NOTE 237p.; For related document, see HE 030 278. For earlier

salary trends, see ED 386 966-967.

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Research

(143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC10 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Rank (Professional); Area Studies; *College

Faculty; Comparative Analysis; *Compensation (Remuneration);

Educational Administration; Ethnic Studies; Higher

Education; History; Home Economics; Law Related Education; Music Teachers; Nursing Education; Occupational Therapy; Philosophy; Physical Education Teachers; Physical Sciences; Physical Therapy; Political Science; Psychology; Public Health; Reading Teachers; Religion Studies; Social Sciences; Social Studies; Social Work; Sociology; Special Education; Speech Instruction; Teacher Education; Teacher Educator Education; *Teacher Salaries; Theater Arts; Trend Analysis;

Visual Arts

ABSTRACT

This document provides comparative salary trend data for full-time faculty at 212 public and 337 private colleges and universities, based on two surveys, one for the baseline year 1992-93 and the other for the "trend" year 1995-96. For each of 26 disciplines, a summary review provides a definition of the discipline; information on average salaries by rank, including "new assistant professor"; faculty mix percentage; and comparisons between the two study years and the Consumer Price Index. Appended to the review of each discipline are lists of the 41 disciplines surveyed and the public and private institutions that participated in that segment of the study. Data and summary details are provided for the following disciplines/major fields: History, General; Home Economics; Instructional Media Technology; Library Science; Mathematics; Multi-Interdisciplinary Studies; Music, General; Nursing; Occupational Therapy; Parks, Recreation, Leisure, and Fitness Studies; Philosophy and Religion; Physical Science; Physical Therapy; Physics; Political Science, General; Psychology; Protective Services; Public Health; Reading Teacher Education; Social Sciences; Social Work; Sociology; Special Education; Speech Pathology and Audiology; Teacher Education; and Visual and Performing Arts. (CH)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



SALARY-TREND ARTICLES OF FACULTY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96 IN THE FOLLOWING ACADEMIC DISCIPLINES/MAJOR FIELDS:

General; Home Economics; Instructional Media Technology; Library Science; Mathematics; Multi-Interdisciplinary Studies; Music, General; Nursing; Occupational Therapy; Parks, Recreation, Leisure and Fitness Studies; Philosophy and Religion; Physical Science; Physical Therapy; Physics; Political Science, General; Psychology; Protective Services; Public Health; Reading Teacher Education; Social Sciences; Social Work; Sociology; Special Education; Speech Pathology and Audiology; Teacher Education; and, Visual and Performing Arts

> by Richard D. Howe Appalachian State University Boone, North Carolina 28608

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Richard D. Howe

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

SALARY-TREND ARTICLES OF FACULTY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96 IN THE FOLLOWING ACADEMIC DISCIPLINES/MAJOR FIELDS:

History, General; Home Economics; Instructional Media Technology; Library Science; Mathematics; Multi-Interdisciplinary Studies; Music, General; Nursing; Occupational Therapy; Parks, Recreation, Leisure and Fitness Studies; Philosophy and Religion; Physical Science; Physical Therapy; Physics; Political Science, General; Psychology; Protective Services; Public Health; Reading Teacher Education; Social Sciences; Social Work; Sociology; Special Education; Speech Pathology and Audiology; Teacher Education; and, Visual and Performing Arts

by
Richard D. Howe
Appalachian State University
Boone, North Carolina 28608

FOREWORD

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, DC, in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, NC, have conducted annual national faculty salary surveys by discipline and rank each year through 1995-96. Two separate surveys are conducted each year, one for public senior colleges and universities and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data from the 1992-93 and 1995-96 surveys were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields, 26 of which are included herein as articles in alphabetical order. The academic disciplines/major fields were chosen from among those defined by \underline{A} Classification of Instructional Programs, 1990.

Each of the 26 academic disciplines/major fields herein presents a summary of the overall average salary increase in that academic discipline/major field from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96 for both public and private participating institutions. Of the 269 public institutions which participated in CUPA's public survey of 1992-93, 212 also participated in the 1995-96 survey. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's private survey of 1992-93, 337 also participated in the 1995-96 survey. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

In addition to listing the average salaries in the 26 individual academic disciplines/major fields for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including "new assistant professor," and listing the faculty mix percentage (FAC MIX PCT) and the salary factor, comparisons are made in each of the 26 individual academic disciplines/major fields between the two public surveys and the two private surveys for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96) and with the CPI (Consumer Price Index) of changes in cost-of-living.

The overall list of 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields surveyed is found in Appendix A of each academic discipline/major field article included herein, and the lists of all participating senior colleges and universities are found in Appendixes B (public) and C (private) of each academic discipline/major field article included herein.



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN HISTORY, GENERAL FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including History. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of History as,

An instructional program that generally describes the study and interpretation of the past including the gathering, critizing of evidence and recording, synthesizing and Includes instruction about past events. historical research methods, studies of historiography; specific periods, issues and cultures; and applications to areas such as historic preservation, public policy, and records administration.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 146--45.0801).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of History for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in



1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of History for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given disci-



pline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 24.6 for associate professors of History in the 1992-93 public study means that 24.6 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.96 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of History in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is four percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of History with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>	ASSO FROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN
PUBLIC 1992-93:	DISC	CIPLINE: SOCIAL SO MAJOR FIELD: His	CIENCES AND HISTOR Story, General	RY	
AVERAGE SALARY: 52997 1000 176	41718 500 153	31672 485 141	31452 118 76	26333 45 33	44533 2030 179
FAC MIX PCT: 49.3% SALARY	24.6%	23.9%	5.8%	2.2%	100.0%
FACTOR: 0.97	0.96	0.88	0.91	0.98	1.02
AUEDAGE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS		
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%
PUBLIC, 1995-96: DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY MAJOR FIELD: History, General					
AVERAGE SALARY: 57810 939 174 FAC MIX	44676 524 151	35057 534 166	32693 108 72	28704 61 40	47700 2058 184
PCT: 45.6% SALARY	25.5%	25.9%	5.2%	3.0%	100.0%
FACTOR: 0.97	0.94	0.90	0.90	0.99	1.00
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS		
SALARY: 59610 20428 FAC MIX	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212
PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%
			· `		
PRIVATE, 92-93:	DISC	CIPLINE: SOCIAL SO MAJOR FIELD: His	CIENCES AND HISTOR Story, General	RY	
SALARY: 52402 599 232 FAC MIX	41208 394 181	32880 348 178	31330 56 48	30/428 42 27	43634 1383 285
PCT: 43.3% SALARY	28.5%	25.2%	4.0%	3.0%	100.0%
FACTOR: 0.96	0.97	0.94	0.96	1.05	1.01
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS		
SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%
PRIVATE, 1995-96:	, DISC	CIPLINE: SOCIAL SO MAJOR FIELD: His	CIENCES AND HISTOR story, General	RY	
SALARY: 58610 644 245 FAC MIX	44844 421 194	35566 362 192	33375 57 46	29988 32 24	48292 1459 298
PCT: 44.1% SALARY	28.9%	24.8%	3.9%	2.2%	100.0%
FACTOR: 0.98	0.97	0.94	0.92	0.99	1.02
AVERACE ALL MAJOR FIELDS					
AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 FAC MIX	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337
FCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of History was reported in 179 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,030 faculty was \$44,533. This average salary was approximately 1.5 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, History was reported in 184 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,058 faculty was \$47,700. This average salary was approximately .3 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of History in the public institutions studied was 7.1 percent (\$47,700 minus \$44,533 equals \$3,167). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in History average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.3 percent or an average of .4 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of History (7.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 2.0 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of History.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in History is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 49.3 percent vs. 23.9 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 45.6 percent vs. 25.9 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in History in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.8 percent (118/2,030) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.2 percent (108/2.058) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of History was reported in 285 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,383 faculty was \$43,634, an average salary 1.1 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 298 of the same 337 private institutions reported History. The average salary of the 1,459 faculty was \$48,298, an average salary 1.8 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in History in the private institutions studies was 10.7 percent (\$48,298 minus \$43,634 equals \$4,664). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of History over the three-year time period, is 2.3 percent or .8 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ΛLL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to History (10.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .7 percent (10.7% minus 10.0 equals .7%) less than faculty in History.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of History, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 43.3 percent vs. 25.2 percent (1992-93); and 44.1 percent vs. 24.8 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in History was the same as that of the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.0 percent (56/1.383) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.9 percent (57/1,459) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of History and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies -- one for public institutions, the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) in the discipline/major field of History participated and were included 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public overal1 total of institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of History in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in History in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in History in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in History, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of History is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in History in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of History has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



a

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN HOME ECONOMICS FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Home Economics. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Home Economics as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the relationship of the physical, social, enotional, and intellectual environments to the development of individuals, homes and families, and the effects of these factors on society and the workplace.*

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Home Economics for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Home Economics for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given disci-



pline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 32.9 for associate professors of Home Economics in the 1992-93 public study means that 32.0 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.96 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Home Economics in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is four percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Home Economics with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN	
PUBLIC 1992-93:		DISCIPLINE: HO MAJOR FIELD: HO				
SALARY: 53795 123 46 FAC MIX	41825 204 47	34757 224 55	34081 23 19	26639 70 37	39935 621 61.	
PCT: 19.8% SALARY	32.9%	36.1%	3.7%	11.3%	100.0%	
FACTOR: 0.99	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.99	0.91	
AUEDACE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS			
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212	
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%	
PUBLIC, 1995-96:						
SALARY: 58766 133 46 FAC MIX	6 46203 196 47	37113 203 52	33766 24 17	28261 67 34	43905 599 57	
FCT: 22.2%	32.7%	33.9%	4.0%	11.2%	100.0%	
SALARY FACTOR: 0.99	0.98	0.95	0.93	0.97	0.92	
		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS			
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212	
FAC MIX PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%	
PRIVATE, 92-93:	DISCIPLINE: HOME ECONOMICS PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: HOME ECONOMICS					
AVERAGE SALARY: 43082 9 8 FAC MIX	3 37149 36 23	33078 49 22	34419 7 4	26724 3 3	35320 9 29	
PCT: 9.3% SALARY	37.1%	50.5%	7.2%	3.1%	100.0%	
FACTOR: 0.79	0.88	0.95	1.05	0.92	0.82	
		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS			
AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337	
FAC MIX PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%	
DISCIPLINE: HOME ECONOMICS PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: HOME ECONOMICS						
AVERAGE SALARY: 47475 12 10	0 40182 32 1.9	36534 36 20	34828 5 5	29740 7 6	38838 87 28	
FAC MIX PCT: 13.8%	36.8%	41.4%	5.7%	8.0%	100.0%	
SALARY FACTOR: 0.79	0.87	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.82	
ALL MAJOR FIELDS						
AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337	
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%	
	J2., V		7.70	4.07	100.00	





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Home Economics was reported in 61 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 521 faculty was \$39,935. This average salary was approximately 9.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Home Economics was reported in 57 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 599 faculty was \$43,905. This average salary was approximately 9.0 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Home Economics in the public institutions studied was 9.9 percent (\$43,905 minus \$39,935 equals \$3,970). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Home Economics average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.5 percent or an average of .5 percent each year above the cost-of-living,

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Home Economics (9.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .8 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Home Economics.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Home Economics is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 19.8 percent vs. 36.1 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 22.2 percent vs. 33.9 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Home Economics in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.7 percent (23/621) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.0 percent (24/599) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Home Economics was reported in 29 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 97 faculty was \$35,320, an average salary 22.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 28 of the same 337 private institutions reported Home Economics. The average salary of the 87 faculty was \$38,838, an average salary 22.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Home Economics in the private institutions studies was 10.0 percent (\$38,838 minus \$35,320 equals \$3,518). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Home Economics over the three-year time period, is 1.6 percent or .5 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Home Economics (10.0%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries the same amount as the faculty of Home Economics.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Home Economics, the faculty mix percentage is lowerigher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 9.3 percent vs. 50.5 percent (1992-93); and 13.8 percent vs. 41.4 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Home Economics was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 7.2 percent (7/97) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.7 percent (5/87) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Home Economics and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Home Economics participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Home Economics in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Home Economics in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Home Economics in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Home Economics, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Home Economics is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Home Economics in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Home Economics has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and



evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN INSTRUCTIONAL MEDIA TECHNOLOGY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Instructional Media Technology. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology as,

An instructional program that prepares individuals to assist instructional media designers and other communications professionals in preparing educational and training films, tapes, recordings, videos, slides and overheads, and in operating related technical equipment.*

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in



both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT



factor of 33.7 for associate professors of Instructional Media Technology in the 1992-93 public study means that 33.7 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.98 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary was two percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>	ASSO PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u> <u>SAI</u>	ASST PROF LARY NUM N/IN SA	NEW ASST PROF INSTI <u>LLARY NUM N/IN</u> SALARY	RUCTOR NUM N/IN SALAF	ALL RANKS RY NUM N/IN
PUBLIC 1992-93:		INE: COMMUNICATIO tional/Instructio	ONS TECHNOLOGIES Onal Media Tech./Techn	ician	
AVERAGE SALARY: 54990 24 11	42880 31 13 33	3893 23 12 3	30625 2 2 29064	14 8 4169	90 92 15
FAC MIX PCT: 26.1%	33.7% 25	5.0%	2.2% 15.2%	100.0)%
SALARY FACTOR: 1.01	0.98	0.94	0.88 1.08	0.95	5
		ALL MAJOR FI	ELDS		
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249 36	6026 17758 3	34654 2434 26818	3879 4387	74 58568 212
PCT: 33.6%	29.5% 30	0.3%	4.2% 6.6%	100.0)%
PUBLIC, 1995-96:		INE: COMMUNICATIO	ONS TECHNOLOGIES onal Media Tech./Techn	ician	
AVERAGE SALARY: 60501 26 12	47670 35 16 39	9805 39 17 3	33439 6 6 27976	5 4 4698	38 105 22
FAC MIX PCT: 24.8%	33.3% 37	7.1%	5.7% 4.8%	100.0)%
SALARY FACTOR: 1.01	1.01	1.02	0.92 0.96	0.9	98
		ALL MAJOR FI	IELDS		
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428	47366 18254 38	8928 17820 3	36373 2811 29106	3838 478	58 60340 212
FAC MIX PCT: 33.9%	30.3% 29	9.5%	4.7% 6.4%	100.0	
				. 	
DISCIPLINE: COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Educational/Instructional Media Tech./Technician					
PRIVATE, 92-93: AVERAGE SALARY: 53808 20 11			30854 8 7 25855		74 105 26
FAC MIX PCT: 19.0%		0.5%	7.6% 5.7%		•
SALARY					•
FACTOR: 0.99 0.98 0.98 0.94 0.89 0.91					
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR FI		1051 621	37 35291 337
SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX					
PCT: 31.9%			4.0% 5.5%	100.	0%
DISCIPLINE: COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Educational/Instructional Media Tech./Technician					
AVERAGE SALARY: 56095 21 11	46174 45 13 38	8436 34 15 3	37517 7 4 31401	11 5 442	17 111 23
FAC MIX PCT: 18.9%	40.5% 30	0.6%	6.3% 9.9%	100.	0%
SALARY FACTOR: 0.93			1.04 1.03		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS					
AVERAGE	46167 11650 2°			5 1684 474	63 36513 337
SALARY: 60032 11948 FAC MIX					
PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9% 4.6%	6 100.	U%





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology was reported in 15 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 92 faculty was \$41,690. This average salary was approximately 5.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Instructional Media Technology was reported in 22 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 105 faculty was \$46,988. This average salary was approximately 1.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology in the public institutions studied was 12.7 percent (\$46,988 minus \$41,690 equals \$5,298). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Instructional Media Technology average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 4.3 percent or an average of 1.4 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology (12.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.6 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Instructional Media Technology is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 26.1 percent vs. 25.0 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 24.8 percent



5 -

vs. 37.1 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Instructional Media Technology in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.2 percent (2/92) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.7 percent (151/3,692) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology was reported in 26 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 105 faculty was \$39,374, which was 9.6 percent below the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 23 of the same 337 private institutions reported Instructional Media Technology. The average salary of the 111 faculty was \$44,217, an average salary 7.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Instructional Media Technology in the private institutions studies was 12.3 percent (\$44,217 minus \$39,374 equals \$4,843). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Instructional Media Technology over the three-year time period, is 3.9 percent or 1.3 percent each year above the cost-of-living.



The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Instructional Media Technology (12.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 2.3 percent (12.3% minus 10.0 equals 2.3%) less than faculty in Instructional Media Technology.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 19.0 percent vs. 50.5 percent (1992-93); and 18.9 percent vs. 30.6 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Instructional Media Technology was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 7.6 percent (8/105) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 6.34.3 percent (7/111) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology and compares that information
with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the
"baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies-one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A
total of 413 (.2%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Instructional



Media Technology participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/-major field of Instructional Media Technology in 1992-93 were five percent and nine percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Instructional Media Technology in 1995-96 were two percent and seven percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Instructional Media Technology in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.4 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.3 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Instructional Media Technology, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Instructional Media Technology in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in



the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Instructional Media Technology has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN LIBRARY SCIENCE FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Library Science. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Library Science as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the knowledge and skills required to manage and/or maintain libraries and related information and record systems, collections and facilities for research and general use.

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 113--25).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Library Science for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in



both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Library Science for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT



factor of 27.9 for associate professors of Library Science in the 1992-93 public study means that 27.9 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.93 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Library Science in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is seven percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to
compare the discipline/major field of Library Science with the entire data base
for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>	ASSO PROF <u>S</u> ALARY NUM N/IN	ASST ASST PROF PROF SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NU	ST OF INSTRUCTOR ALL PANKS	
PUBLIC 1992-93: AVERAGE		DISCIPLINE: LIBRARY SCIENMAJOR FIELD: Library Scie	ENCE	
SALARY: 56987 45 19 FAC MIX	47871 128 29	35661 133 27 32111	9 6 27088 31 11 42358 337 35	
PCT: 13.4% SALARY	38.0%	39.5% 2.7%	9.2% 100.0%	
FACTOR: 1.05	1.10	0.99 0.93	1.01 0.97	
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR FIELDS		
SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758 34654 24	2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212	
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3% 4.2%	6.6% 100.0%	
<u>PUBLIC, 1995-96:</u> AVERAGE		DISCIPLINE: LIBRARY SCIEN MAJOR FIELD: Library Scie	ENCE	
SALARY: 58692 44 18 FAC MIX	45334 115 28	34356 140 32 29646	14 9 27891 46 14 40257 345 37	
PCT: 12.8% SALARY	33.3%	40.6% 4.1%	13.3% 100.0%	
FACTOR: 0.98	0.96	0.88 0.82	0.96 0.84	
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR FIELDS		
SALARY: 59610 20428 FAC MIX	47366 18254	38928 17820 36373 28	2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212	
PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5% 4.7%	6.4% 100.0%	
PRIVATE, 92-93: AVERAGE DISCIPLINE: LIBPARY SCIENCE MAJOR FIELD: Library Science				
SALARY: 50596 9 9 FAC MIX	39100 48 21	29778 64 27 26627	7 5 24785 16 13 33828 137 30	
PCT: 6 6% SALARY	35.0%	46.7% 5.1%	11.7% 100.0%	
FACTOR: 0.93	0.92	0.85 0.81	0.86 0.78	
AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS				
SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX	42331 10862	34956 11225 32785 14	1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337	
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8% 4.0%	5.5% 100.0%	
PRIVATE, 1995-96: DISCIPLINE: LIBRARY SCIENCE AVERAGE MAJOR FIELD: Library Science				
SALARY: 46815 20 13 FAC MIX	43249 54 27	33580 68 29 33094	10 8 28370 21 13 37736 163 36	
PCT: 12.3%	33.1%	41.7% 6.1%	12.9% 100.0%	
SALARY FACTOR: 0.78	0.94	0.88 0.92	0.93 0.80	
ALL MAJOR FIELDS				
AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222 36092 186	.807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337	
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7% 4.9%	4.6% 100.0%	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Library Science was reported in 35 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 337 faculty was \$42,358. This average salary was approximately 3.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Library Science was reported in 37 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 345 faculty was \$40,257. This average salary was approximately 18.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Library Science in the public institutions studied was -5.2 percent (\$40,257 minus \$42,358 equals \$-2,101). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Library Science average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 13.6 percent or an average of 4.5 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Library Science (-5.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 14.6 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Library Science.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Library Science is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 13.4 percent vs. 39.5 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 12.8 percent vs. 40.6 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Library Science in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.7 percent (9/337) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.1 percent (14/345) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Library Science was reported in 30 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 137 faculty was \$33,838, an average salary 27.5 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 36 of the same 337 private institutions reported Library Science. The average salary of the 163 faculty was \$37,736, an average salary 25.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Library Science in the private institutions studies was 11.5 percent (\$37,736 minus \$33,828 equals \$3,908). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Library Science over the three-year time period, is 3.1 percent or 1.0 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Library Science (11.5%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.5 percent (11.5% minus 10.0 equals 1.5%) less than faculty in Library Science.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Library Science, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 6.6 percent vs. 46.7 percent (1992-93); and 12.3 percent vs. 41.7 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Library Science was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 52.1 percent (7/137) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 6.1 percent (10/163) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Library Science and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Library Science participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Library Science in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Library Science in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Library Science in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Library Science, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Library Science is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Library Science in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Library Science has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN MATHEMATICS FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including Engineering. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Mathematics as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the systematic study of logical symbolic language and its applications.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 119--27).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Mathematics for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.



This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Mathematics for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 27.1 for associate professors of Mathematics in the 1992-93 public



study means that 27.1 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.00 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Mathematics in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is the same as the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Mathematics with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF SALARY MIM N/IN	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN			
PUBLIC 1992-93: DISCIPLINE: MATHEMATICS MAJOR FIELD: Mathematics								
AVERAGE SALARY: 57114 1202 188 FAC MIX	43048 1066 188	35118 992 190	33380 124 81	25557 363 97	43791 3623 200			
PCT: 33.2% SALARY	29.4%	27.4%	3.4%	10.0%	100.0%			
FACTOR: 1.05	0.99	0.97	0.96	0.95	1.00			
AUDDAGE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS					
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212			
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%			
PUBLIC, 1995-96: DISCIPLINE: MATHEMATICS AVERAGE MAJOR FIELD: Mathematics								
SALARY: 61422 1292 191 FAC MIX	46841 1078 191	38030 943 191	36637 151 91	27792 379 95	47738 3692 202			
PCT: 35.0% SALARY	29.2%	25.5%	4.1%	10.3%	100.0%			
FACTOR: 1.03	0.99	0.98	1.01	0.95	1.00			
AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS								
SALARY: 59610 20428 FAC MIX	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212			
PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%			
PRIVATE, 92-93: DISCIPLINE: MATHEMATICS AVERAGE MAJOR FIELD: Mathematics								
SALARY: 55585 643 207 FAC MIX	41753 602 232	34777 587 250	32362 74 64	26945 140 84	43135 1972 313			
PCT: 32.6% SALARY	30.5%	29.8%	3.8%	7.1%	100.0%			
FACTOR: 1.02	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.93	1.00			
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR	R FIELDS					
SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337			
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%			
PRIVATE, 1995-96: DISCIPLINE: MATHEMATICS MAJOR FIELD: Mathematics								
AVERAGE SALARY: 60363 663 221	45475 663 237	37518 542 247	35265 83 66	28535 97 69	47498 1935 317			
FAC MIX PCT: 34.3%	32.7%	28.0%	4.3%	5.0%	100.0%			
SALARY FACTOR: 1.01	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.94	1.00			
ALL MAJOR FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337			
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%			
		•	•					





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Mathematics was reported in 200 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 3,623 faculty was \$43,791. This average salary was approximately .2 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Mathematics was reported in 202 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 3,692 faculty was \$47.738. This average salary was approximately .3 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Mathematics in the public institutions studied was 9.0 percent (\$47,738 minus \$43.791 equals \$3,947). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Mathematics average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .6 percent or an average of .2 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Mathematics (9.0%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .1 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Engineering.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Mathematics is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 33.2 percent vs. 27.4 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 35.0 percent vs. 25.5 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Mathematics in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.4 percent (124/3,623) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.0 percent (151/3,692) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Mathematics was reported in 313 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,972 faculty was \$43,135, which was virtually the same as the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 317 of the same 337 private institutions reported Engineering. The average salary of the 1,935 faculty was \$47,498, an average salary .07 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Mathematics in the private institutions studies was 10.1 percent (\$47,498 minus \$43,135 equals \$4,363). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Mathematics over the three-year time period, is 1.7 percent or .6 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Mathematics (10.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .1 percent (10.1% minus 10.0 equals .1%) more than faculty in Engineering.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Engineering, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 32.6 percent vs. 29.8 percent (1992-93); and 34.3 percent vs. 28.0 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Mathematics was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.7 percent (74/1,972) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.3 percent (83/1,935) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Mathematics and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Mathematics participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Mathematics in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Mathematics in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Mathematics in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Mathematics, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Mathematics is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Mathematics in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Mathematics has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation



tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN MULTI/INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs, the compenents of which derive from two or more separate instructional programs.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 121--30).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used



in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT



factor of 28.4 for associate professors of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in the 1992-93 public study means that 28.4 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.93 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is seven percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASSO ASST ASST **PROF** PROF ALL RANKS PROF **PROF** INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM_ N/IN DISCIPLINE: MULTI/INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies AVERAGE SALARY: 55429 32370 116 16 61 21 40383 81 18 32513 7 24968 38931 284 27 26 FAC MLX PCT: 21.5% 28.5% 40.8% 2.5% 9.2% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.02 0.93 0.90 0.94 0.93 0.89 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX 33.6% PCT: 29.5% 4.2% 30.3% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: MULTI/INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies **AVERAGE** SALARY: 56878 27 44305 89 22 35602 151 18 35189 18 21182 55 39344 359 32 FAC MIX PCT: 17.8% 24.8% 42.1% 5.0% 15.3% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.95 0.94 0.910.97 0.82 0.73 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 13.9% PCT: 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: MULTI/INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES PRIVATE, 92-93: AVERAGE MAJOR FIELD: Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies 38902 31790 29685 40468 SALARY: 51829 48 34062 5 15 156 34 56 18 37 17 17 FAC MIX 35.9% 23.7% 30.8% 3.2% 9.6% 100.0% PCT: SALARY FACTOR: 0.95 0.92 0.91 1.04 1.03 0.94 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 32785 1415 34956 11225 28932 1.951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: MULTI/INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies AVERAGE 43730 45 **SALARY: 54427** 37 15 17 33357 43052 35 18 31300 l. 31864 125 29 FAC MIX 28.0% PCT: 29.6% 36.0% 6.4% 3.2% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.91 0.94 0.88 0.87 0.91 1.05 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies was reported in 27 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 284 faculty was \$38,931. This average salary was approximately 12.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies was reported in 32 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 359 faculty was \$39,344. This average salary was approximately 21.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in the public institutions studied was 1.1 percent (\$39,344 minus \$38,931 equals \$413). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 7.3 percent or an average of 2.4 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies (1.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 8.0 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank:



21.5 percent vs. 40.8 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 17.8 percent vs. 42.1 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.5 percent (7/284) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.0 percent (18/359) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies was reported in 34 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 156 faculty was \$40,468, an average salary 6.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 29 of the same 337 private institutions reported Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies. The average salary of the 125 faculty was \$43,052, an average salary 10.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in the private institutions studies was 6.4 percent (\$43,062 minus \$40,468 equals \$2,584). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Multi/Interdisciplinary



Studies over the three-year time period, is 2.0 percent or .7 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies (6.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 3.6 percent (10.0% minus 6.4 equals 3.6%) more than faculty in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 35.9 percent vs. 30.8 percent (1992-93); and 29.6 percent vs. 28.0 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.2 percent (5/156) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.2 percent (4/125) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions



--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies is still emerging in the academy.



Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN MUSIC, GENERAL FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Music. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Music as,

instructional program that generally describes the study Λn appreciation of music. and the study of music Includes instruction in principles of harmony, performance. musical notation, musical styles, the historical development fundamentals various musical music. and the of instruments.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 167--50.0901).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Music for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline



year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Music for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT



factor of 32.8 for associate professors of Music in the 1992-93 public study means that 32.8 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.91 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Music in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is nine percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Music with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN			
DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Music, General								
AVERAGE SALARY: 49437 771 137 FAC MIX	39582 769 149	31.611 684 147	30310 80 51	25677 123 64	39768 2347 164			
PCT: 32.9% SALARY	32.8%	29.1%	3.4%	5.2%	100.0%			
FACTOR: 0.91	0.91	0.88	0.87	0.96	0.91			
AVEDAGE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS					
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 177584	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212			
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%			
PUBLIC, 1995-96:	DIS	CIPLINE: VISUAL A MAJOR FIELD: Mu	ND PERFORMING ART	S				
SALARY: 53590 778 141 FAC MIX	42402 807 154	34219 662 143	32294 109 65	28077 109 58	43135 2356 164			
PCT: 33.0% SALARY	34.3%	28.1%	4.6%	4.6%	100.0%			
FACTOR: 0.90	0.90	0.88	0.89	0.96	0.90			
ALL MAJOR FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 FAC MIX	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212			
PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%			
	. -							
DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Music, General								
AVERAGE SALARY: 47065 451 165 FAC MIX	37784 416 167	31412 376 171	29781 40 29	26712 76 60	38503 1319 244			
PCT: 34.2% SALARY	31.5%	28.5%	3.0%	5 - 8%	100.0%			
FACTOR: 0.86	0.89	0.90	0.91	0.92	0.89			
ALL MAJOR FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337			
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%			
DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS								
<u>PRIVATE, 1995-96</u> : AVERAGE		MAJOR FIELD: M	usic, General					
SALARY: 51162 466 171 FAC MIX	41327 475 181	34063 393 175	32421 55 46	29289 82 61	41851 1416 252			
PCT: 32.9% SALARY	33.5%	27.8%	3.9%	5.8%	100.0%			
FACTOR: 0.85	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.96	0.88			
ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE								
SALARY: 60032 11948 FAC MIX	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337			
PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%			

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Music was reported in1642 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,347 faculty was \$39,768. This average salary was approximately 10.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Music was reported in 164 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,356 faculty was \$43,135. This average salary was approximately 10.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Music in the public institutions studied was 8.5 percent (\$43,135 minus \$39,768 equals \$3,367). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Music average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .1 percent or an average of .03 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Music (8.5%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .6 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Music.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Music is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 32.9 percent vs. 20.1 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 33.0 percent vs. 28.1 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Music in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.2 percent (123/2,347) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.6 percent (109/2,356) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Music was reported in 244 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,319 faculty was \$38,503, an average salary 12 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 252 of the same 337 private institutions reported Music. The average salary of the 1,416 faculty was \$41,851, an average salary 13.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Music in the private institutions studies was 8.7 percent (\$41,851 minus \$38,503 equals \$3,348). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Music over the three-year time period, is .3 percent or .1 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Music (8.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .4 percent (9.1% minus 8.7 equals .4%) more than faculty in Music.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Music, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 34.2 percent vs. 28.5 percent (1992-93); and 32.9 percent vs. 27.8 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Music was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.0 percent (40/1,319) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.8 percent (55/1,416) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Music and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies -- one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions -- were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) in the discipline/major field of Music participated and were included 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the 190,712 participating faculty. overal1 total of The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Music in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Music in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Music in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Music, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Music is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Music in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Music has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN NURSING FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including nursing. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of nursing as,

An instructional program that generally prepares individuals in the knowledge, techniques and procedures for promoting health, providing care for sick, disabled, deformed, or other individuals or groups. Includes instruction in administration of medication and treatments, assisting a physician during treatments and examinations, referring patients to physicians and other health care specialists, and planning education for health maintenance.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 179-180--51.1601).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of nursing for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which



participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of nursing for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 27.9 for associate professors of nursing in the 1992-93 public study means that 27.9 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.93 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of nursing in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is seven percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of nursing with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE	: HEALTH PROFESSI	NEW ASST FROF SALARY NUM N/IN ONS AND RELATED 5	SCIENCES	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN		
<u>PUBLIC 1992-93</u> : AVERAGE	MA.	JOR FIELD: Nursin	g (R.N. Training)				
SALARY: 50792 158 72 FAC MIX	40760 561 118	34160 1026 129	32020 109 58	28279 264 68	36538 2009 132		
PCT: 7.9% SALARY	27.9%	51.1%	5.4%	13.1%	100.0%		
FACTOR: 0.93	0.93	0.95	0.92	1.05	0.83		
AUEDACE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS				
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212		
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%		
PUBLIC, 1995-96: AVERAGE DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES MAJOR FIELD: Nursing (R.N. Training)							
SALARY: 54518 205 85 FAC MIX	44979 582 124	38127 1029 124	36902 102 62	32640 278 78	40907 2094 135		
PCT: 9.8% SALARY	27.8%	49.1%	4.9%	13.3%	100.0%		
FACTOR: 0.91	0.95	0.98	1.01	1.12	0.85		
AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS							
SALARY: 59610 20428 FAC MIX	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212		
PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%		
		- -					
PRIVATE, 92-93: DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES MAJOR FIELD: Nursing (R.N. Training)							
AVERAGE SALARY: 46743 116 67 FAC MIX	37959 347 94	32334 626 115	32201 67 37	281.06 209 64	34444 1298 125		
PCT: 8.9% SALARY	26.7%	48.2%	5.2%	16.1%	100.0%		
FACTOR: 0.86	0.190	0.92	0.98	0.97	0.80		
AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS							
SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337		
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%		
PRIVATE, 1995-96: DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES MAJOR FIELD: Nursing (R.N. Training)							
AVERAGE SALARY: 52375 140 70							
FAC MIX FCT: 9.7%	42117 381 106 26.4%	35750 689 113	34589 89 51	31/41/4 232 62	38349 1442 123		
SALARY		47.8%	6.2%	16.1%	100.0%		
FACTOR: 0.87	0.91	0.9/4	0.96	1.03	0.81		
AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS							
SALARY: 60032 11948 FAC MIX	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1.684	47463 36513 337		
PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%		

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of nursing was reported in 132 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,009 faculty was \$36,538. This average salary was approximately 20.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, nursing was reported in 135 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,094 faculty was \$40,907. This average salary was approximately 17 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of nursing in the public institutions studied was 11.9 percent (\$40,907 minus \$36,538 equals \$4,369). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in nursing average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 3.5 percent or an average of 1.2 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of nursing (11.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 2.8 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of nursing.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in nursing is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 7.9 percent vs. 51.1 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 9.8 percent vs. 49.1 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in nursing in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.4 percent (109/2,009) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 4.9 percent (102/2,094) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of nursing was reported in 125 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,298 faculty was \$34,444, an average salary 25.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 123 of the same 337 private institutions reported nursing. The average salary of the 1,442 faculty was \$38,349, an average salary 23.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in nursing in the private institutions studies was 11.3 percent (\$38,349 minus \$34,444 equals \$3,905). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of nursing over the three-year time period, is 2.9 percent or .9 percent each year above the cost-of-living.



The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to nursing (11.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.3 percent (11.3% minus 10.0% equals 1.3%) less than faculty in nursing.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of nursing, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 8.9 percent vs. 48.2 percent (1992-93); and 9.7 percent vs. 47.8 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in nursing was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 5.1 percent (67/1,298) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 6.1 percent (89/1,442) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of nursing and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 6,843 (3.6%) faculty in the discipline/major field of nursing participated and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated



in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of nursing in 1992-93 were 17 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in nursing in 1995-96 were 15 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in nursing in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.2 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in nursing, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of nursing is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of nursing has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



g

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including occupational therapy. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of occupational therapy as,

An instructional program that prepares individuals to employ self-care, work and play activities as therapeutic regimes for patients in order to increase independent functioning, enhance development and assist recovery from disability. Includes instruction in adapting therapeutic tasks or environments to achieve maximum independence and enhance the quality of life for each patient.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 185--51.2306).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of occupational therapy for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212



institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of occupational therapy for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 14.0 for associate professors of occupational therapy in the 1992-93 public study means that 14.0 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.84 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of occupational therapy in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 16 percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of occupational therapy with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u> <u>S</u>	NEW ASST PROF INS <u>ALARY NUM N/IN</u> <u>SALA</u>	STRUCTOR RY NUM N/IN S	ALL RANKS ALARY NUM N/IN				
DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Occupational Therapy									
AVERAGE SALARY: 571.65 8 4 FAC MIX	36689 8 5	36294 28 9	34700 6 4 2735	58 13 5	37241 57 11				
PCT: 14.0% SALARY	14.0%	49.1%	10.5% 22.8	3% 1	00.0%				
FACTOR: 1.05	0.84	1.01	1.00)2	0.85				
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR FI	ELDS		•				
SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434 268:	18 3879	43874 58568 212				
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6% 1	.00.0%				
PUBLIC, 1995-96:		: HEALTH PROFESSION	S AND RELATED SCIENC	ES					
AVERAGE SALARY: 57953 10 3 FAC MIX	47979 15 8	40244 39 13	36658 6 /4 29/4	03 18 5	41439 82 13				
PCT: 12.2% SALARY	18.3%	47.6%	7.3% 22.	0% 1	100.0%				
FACTOR: 0.97	1.01	1.03	1.01	01	0.87				
ALL MAJOR FIELDS									
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 FAC MIX	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811 291	06 3838	47858 60340 212				
PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7% 6.4	4% 1	00.0%				
	,								
DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Occupational Therapy									
AVERAGE SALARY: 54215 5 5 FAC MIX	42072 19 8	35718 42 13	36701 9 7 340	43 6 4	38540 72 1.3				
PCT: 6.9% SALARY	26.4%	58 .3%	12.5% 8.	3% 1	00.0%				
FACTOR: 0.99	0.99	1.02	1.12	18	0.89				
		ALL MAJOR F	IELDS						
AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415 289	32 1951	43137 35291 337				
FAC MIX PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0% 5.	5% 1	100.0%				
DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES									
<u>PRIVATE, 1995-96</u> : AVERAGE	M	MAJOR FIELD: Occupat	ional Therapy						
SALARY: 59059 5 5 FAC MIX			41750 8 5 3999	27 13 7	43604 98 19				
PCT: 5.1% SALARY	30.6%	51.0%	8.2% 13.	3% 1	00.0%				
FACTOR: 0.98	1.02	1.08	1.16	31	0.92				
AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS									
SALARY: 60032 11948 FAC MIX	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807 304	25 1684	47463 36513 337				
PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	5% 1	100.0%				

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of occupational therapy was reported in 11 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 57 faculty was \$37,241. This average salary was approximately 17.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, occupational therapy was reported in 13 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 82 faculty was \$41,439. This average salary was approximately 15.5 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of occupational therapy in the public institutions studied was 11.3 percent (\$41,439 minus \$37,241 equals \$4,198). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in occupational therapy average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 2.9 percent or an average of .97 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of occupational therapy (11.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 2.2 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of occupational therapy.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in occupational therapy is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 14.0 percent vs. 49.1 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 12.2 percent vs. 47.6 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in occupational therapy in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 10.5 percent (6/57) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 7.3 percent (6/82) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of occupational therapy was reported in 13 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 72 faculty was \$38,540, an average salary 11.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 19 of the same 337 private institutions reported occupational therapy. The average salary of the 98 faculty was \$43,604, an average salary 8.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in occupational therapy in the private institutions studies was 13.1 percent (\$43,604 minus \$38,540 equals \$5,064). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of occupational therapy over the three-year time period, is 4.7 percent or 1.6 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR



FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to occupational therapy (13.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 3.1 percent (13.1% minus 10.0% equals 3.1%) less than faculty in occupational therapy.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of occupational therapy, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 6.9 percent vs. 58.3 percent (1992-93); and 5.1 percent vs. 51.0 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in occupational therapy was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 12.5 percent (9/72) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 8.2 percent (8/98) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSTON

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of occupational therapy and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions -- were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 309 (.2%)faculty in the discipline/major field of occupational therapy participated and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.



Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of occupational therapy in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 11 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in occupational therapy in 1995-96 were 13 percent and eight percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in occupational therapy in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .97 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.6 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in occupational therapy, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of occupational therapy is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of occupational therapy has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

PARKS, RECREATION, LEISURE & FITNESS STUDIES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the principles and practices of managing parks and other recreational and fitness facilities; providing recreation, leisure and fitness services; and the study of human fitness.*

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline



year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 27.8 for associate professors of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies in the 1992-93 public study means that 27.8 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.98 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is two percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to
compare the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness
studies with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASS0 **ASST** ASST PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS PROF SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/LN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: PARKS, RECREATION, LEISURE & FITNESS STUDIES MAJOR FIELD: Parks, Recreation, Leisure & Fitness Studies PUBLIC 1992-93: AVERAGE 40527 983 90 SALARY: 51529 74 42707 273 77 34578 324 81 31775 29 26 28758 128 42 258 FAC MIX 3.0% 100.0% PCT: 26.2% 27.8% 33.0% 13.0% SALARY 1.07 0.92 0.92 FACTOR: 0.95 0.98 0.96 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54518 19682 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 43644 17249 36026 17758 FAC MIX 100.0% PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% DISCIPLINE: PARKS, RECREATION, LEISURE & FITNESS STUDIES MAJOR FIELD: Parks, Recreation, Leisure & Fitenss Studies PUBLIC, 1995-96: AVERAGE 979 91 33336 57 40 31305 109 47 43756 SALARY: 55572 256 76 46096 266 78 371.76 348 84 FAC MIX 100.0% 5.8% 11.1% PCT: 26.1% 27.2% 35.5% SALARY 0.95 0.91 0.92 1.08 0.97 FACTOR: 0.93 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 47858 60340 212 36373 2811 291.06 3838 38928 17820 SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 FAC MIX 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% DISCIPLINE: PARKS, RECREATION, LEISURE & FITNESS STUDIES MAJOR FIELD: Parks, Recreation, Leisure & Fitness Studies PRIVATE, 92-93: **AVERAGE** 340 88 34651 38080 104 57 30404 115 58 29089 16 14 25953 58 31 SALARY: 44749 63 40 FAC MIX 100.0% 4.7% 17.1% PCT: 18.5% 30.6% 33.8% SALARY 0.80 0.89 0.90 0.90 0.87 FACTOR: 0.82 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 42331 10862 FAC MIX 100.0% 4.0% 5.5% 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% PCT: DISCIPLINE: PARKS, RECREATION, LEISURE & FITNESS STUDIES MAJOR FIELD: Parks, Recreation, Leisure & Fitness Studies PRIVATE, 1995-96: AVERAGE 362 94 27808 55 39391 34893 107 58 35111 20 16 SALARY: 49452 84 41 41746 116 61 FAC MIX 100.0% 5.5% 15.2% PCT: 23.2% 32.0% 29.6% SALARY 0.83 0.97 0.91 0.92 FACTOR: 0.82 0.90 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 47463 36513 337 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 46167 11659 SALARY: 60032 11948 FAC MIX 4.9% 4.6% 100.0% 30.7% PCT: 32.7% 31.9%





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies was reported in 90 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 983 faculty was \$40,527. This average salary was approximately 8.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies was reported in 91 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 979 faculty was \$43,756. This average salary was approximately 9.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies in the public institutions studied was 8 percent (\$43,756 minus \$40,527 equals \$3,229). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .4 percent or an average of .13 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies (8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.1 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies.



In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 26.2 percent vs. 33.0 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 26.1 percent vs. 35.5 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.9 percent (29/983) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.8 percent (57/979) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies was reported in 88 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 340 faculty was \$34,651, an average salary 24.5 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 94 of the same 337 private institutions reported parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies. The average salary of the 362 faculty was \$39,391, an average salary 20.5 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies in the private institutions studies was



13.7 percent (\$39,391 minus \$34,651 equals \$4,740). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies over the three-year time period, is 5.3 percent or 1.8 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies (13.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 3.7 percent (13.7% minus 10.0% equals 3.7%) less than faculty in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 18.5 percent vs. 33.8 percent (1992-93); and 23.2 percent vs. 29.6 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.7 percent (16/340) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.5 percent (20/362) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies and compares that



information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 2,664 (1.4%) faculty in the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies participated and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies in 1992-93 were eight percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies in 1995-96 were nine percent and 17 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .13 percent below the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.8 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs



are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies the hiring rate was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of parks, recreation, leisure & fitness studies has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including philosophy and religion. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the study of modes, methods and types of logical inquiry; and the study of organized systems of belief and related practices.*

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.0 for associate professors of philosophy and religion in the 1992-93 public study means that 31.0 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.96 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is four percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



<u>s</u>		ROF NUM_N/IN	PF	SSO ROF NUM N/IN	ASS PRO SALARY	F	PF	ST OF	<u>IN</u>	INSTR SALARY	RUCTOR	! !/IN	AJ. SALARY	L RANK	S N/IN
PUBLIC 1	1992-93	<u>3</u> :				: PHILOSO D: Philos									
		381 122	41717	279 107	32516	221 101	30801	32	28 ,	24815	19	14	44783	900	147
FAC MIX PCT: SALARY	42.3%		31.0%		24.6%		3.6%			2.1%			100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.01		0.96		0.90		0.89			0.93			1.02		
						ALL MAJOR	FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX		19682	43644	17249	36026	17758	34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212
			29.5%		30.3%		4.2%			6.6%			100.0%		
PUBLIC,		9 <u>6</u> :				: PHILOSO D: Philos									
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	60147	396 124	44930	292 109	35523	221 104	32774	36	30	24801	12	11	48954	921	151
	43.0%		31.7%		24.0%		3.9%			1.3%			100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.01		0.95		0.91		0.90			0.85			1.02		
						ALL MAJOR	FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	59610	20428	47366	18254	38928	17820	36373	2811		29106	3838		47858	60340	21.2
PCT:	33.9%		30.3%		29.5%		4.7%			6.4%			100.0%		٠
PRIVATE AVERAGE		_		M.	AJOR FIEL	E: PHILOSO D: Philos	ophy and	Relig	ion						
FAC MIX		640 231		453 193		440 205			56	26899		41		1582	286 .
PCT: SALARY FACTOR:	40.5%		28.6%		27.8% 0.92		4.2% 0.92			3.1% 0.93			100.0%		
VACAON.	0. 23		7. 7.							Ų.73			0.90		
AVERAGE						ALL MAJOR									
FAC MIX		11253	42331 30.8%			11225				28932				35291	337
PCT:	31.96		30.8%		31.8%		4.0%			5.5%			100.0%		
PRIVATE AVERAGE		<u>-96</u> :				E: PHILOSO D: Philos									
	55916	675 236	42702	522 207	34715	464 190	33064	81	68	30993	43	35	45466	1704	290
PCT: SALARY	39.6%		30.6%		27.2%		4.8%			2.5%			100.0%		
FACTOR:	0.93		0.92		0.91		0.92			1.02			0.96		
A DED ACT						ALL MAJO	R FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	60032	11948	46167	11659	37984	11222	36092	1807		30425	1684		47463	36513	337
PCT:	32.7%		31.9%	•	30.7%		4.9%			4.6%			1.00.0%		





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion was reported in 147 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 900 faculty was \$44,783. This average salary was approximately 20.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, philosophy and religion was reported in 151 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 921 faculty was \$48,954. This average salary was approximately 22.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion in the public institutions studied was 9.3 percent (\$48,954 minus \$44,783 equals \$4,171). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in philosophy and religion average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .9 percent or an average of .3 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion (9.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .2 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in philosophy and religion is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 42.3 percent vs. 24.6 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 43.0 percent vs. 24.0



percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in philosophy and religion in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.5 percent (32/900) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.9 percent (36/921) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion was reported in 286 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,582 faculty was \$41,535, an average salary 3.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 290 of the same 337 private institutions reported philosophy and religion. The average salary of the 1,704 faculty was \$45,466, an average salary 4.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in philosophy and religion in the private institutions studies was 9.5 percent (\$45,466 minus \$41,535 equals \$3,931). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of philosophy and religion over the three-year time period, is 1.1 percent or .37 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to philosophy and religion (9.5%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .5 percent (10.0% minus 9.5% equals .5%) more than faculty in philosophy and religion.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 40.5 percent vs. 27.8 percent (1992-93); and 39.6 percent vs. 27.2 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in philosophy and religion was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.2 percent (66/1,582) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.7 percent (81/1,704) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of philosophy and religion and compares that information with both
ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline
year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for
public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for
the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of
5,107 (2.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion
participated and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of
the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The
same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the
United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.



Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion in 1992-93 were two percent above and four percent below, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in philosophy and religion in 1995-96 were two percent above and four percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in philosophy and religion in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .3 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .37 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in philosophy and religion, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of philosophy and religion is firmly established and on going in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies and in the 1995-96 private study was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. In the private 1992-93 study, however, the hiring rate for new assistant professors was higher than for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of philosophy and religion has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN PHYSICAL SCIENCES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including physical sciences. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of physical sciences as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the scientific study of inanimate objects, processes of matter and energy, and associated phenomena.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 129--40).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of physical sciences for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of physical sciences for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 26.5 for associate professors of physical sciences in the 1992-93 public study means that 26.5 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.98 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of physical sciences in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is two percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of physical sciences with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM _ N/IN				
PUBLIC 1992-93:		DISCIPLINE: PHYS MAJOR FIELD: Phy							
AVERAGE SALARY: 52932 201 44 FAC MIX	42841 127 38	34924 126 42	30895 21 14	25052 26 18	44025 480 50				
PCT: 41.9% SALARY	26.5%	26.3%	4.4%	5.4%	100.0%				
FACTOR: 0.97	0.98	0.97	0.89	0.93	1.00				
AVEDACE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS						
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212				
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%				
DISCIPLINE: PHYSICAL SCIENCES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Physical Sciences									
AVERAGE SALARY: 58662 205 48	45208 134 42	37051 125 43	34655 18 16	29055 21 17	48093 485 58				
FAC MIX PCT: 42.3%	27.6%	35.8%	3.7%	4.3%	100.0%				
SALARY FACTOR: 0.98	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00				
•		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS						
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212				
FAC MIX PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%				
PRIVATE, 92-93:		DISCIPLINE: PHYS							
AVERAGE SALARY: 44152 35 19 FAC MIX	32232 25 16	31842 42 21	30875 4 3	27167 7 7	35584 109 35				
PCT: 32.1% SALARY	22.9%	38.5%	3.7%	6.4%	100.0%				
FACTOR: 0.81	0.76	0.91	0.94	0.94	0.82				
ALL MAJOR FIELDS									
AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337				
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%				
DISCIPLINE: PHYSICAL SCIENCES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Physical Sciences									
AVERAGE SALARY: 59222 53 19	38249 21 14	33534 32 17	34991 5 5	29726 5 5	46520 111 29				
FAC MIX PCT: 47.7%	18.9%	28.8%	4.5%	4.5%	100.0%				
SALARY FACTOR: 0.99	0.83	0.88	0.97	0.98	0.98				
ALL MAJOR FIELDS									
AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337				
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%				

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of physical sciences was reported in 50 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 480 faculty was \$44,025. This average salary was approximately .3 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, physical sciences was reported in 58 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 485 faculty was \$48,093. This average salary was approximately .5 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of physical sciences in the public institutions studied was 9.2 percent (\$48,093 minus \$44,025 equals \$4,068). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in physical sciences average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .8 percent or an average of .3 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of physical sciences (9.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .1 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of physical sciences.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in physical sciences is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 41.9 percent vs. 26.3 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 42.3 percent vs. 35.8 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant



professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in physical sciences in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.4 percent (21/480) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.7 percent (18/485) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of physical sciences was reported in 35 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 109 faculty was \$35,584, an average salary 21.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 29 of the same 337 private institutions reported physical sciences. The average salary of the 111 faculty was \$46,520, an average salary 2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in physical sciences in the private institutions studies was 30.7 percent (\$46,520 minus \$35,584 equals \$10,936). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of physical sciences over the three-year time period, is 22.3 percent or 7.4 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to physical sciences (30.7%), the faculty



in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 20.7 percent (30.7% minus 10.0% equals 20.7%) less than faculty in physical sciences.

In the 1992-93 study in the discipline/major field of physical sciences, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 32.1 percent vs. 38.5 percent; and higher in the 1995-96 study: 47.7 percent vs. 28.8 percent. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in physical sciences was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.7 percent (4/109) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 4.5 percent (5/111) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of physical sciences and compares that information with both ALL
MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year"
of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 1,185
(.6%) faculty in the discipline/major field of physical sciences participated
and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four
studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212
public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of physical sciences in 1992-93 were the same and 18 percent below, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in physical sciences in 1995-96 were the same and two percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in physical sciences in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .3 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 7.4 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies in physical sciences, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in the public studies the discipline/major field of physical sciences is firmly established and on going in the academy. However, in the 1992-94 private study in physical sciences, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, but higher in 1995-96, indicating that in the private studies, the discipline/major field of physical sciences is still an emerging discipline/major field in academia.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. In the public 1992-93 study, however, the hiring rate for new assistant professors was higher than for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.



Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of physical sciences has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN PHYSICAL THERAPY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by $\underline{\Lambda}$ Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP), 1990, including physical therapy. The \underline{CIP} defines the discipline/major field of physical therapy as,

An instructional program that prepares individuals, upon referral by a physician, to evaluate patients and plan and execute treatment programs to prevent or remediate physical dysfunction, relieve pain and prevent further disability. Includes instruction in patho-and therapeutic kinesiology, equipment design and maintenance, treatment regimes, and the evaluation of skeletal, neurological and cardiovascular disorders. Also includes instruction in patient counseling, personnel supervision and record-keeping.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 185--51.2308).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of physical therapy for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC



study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of physical therapy for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.



"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 35.4 for associate professors of physical therapy in the 1992-93 public study means that 35.4 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.00 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of physical therapy in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is the same as the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of physical therapy with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW **ASSO** ASST ASST PROF PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Physical Therapy AVERAGE SALARY: 57877 7. 4 43851 29 12 39374 37 13 42167 3 3 35075 9 42065 82 17 FAC MIX PCT: 8.5% 35.4% 45.1% 3.7% 11.0% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.06 1.00 1.09 1.22 1.31 0.96 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 2.12 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Physical Therapy AVERAGE SALARY: 60949 1.6 11 51873 39 16 43747 39 14 -41017 39507 10 49033 104 21 FAC MIX PCT: 15.4% 37.5% 37.5% 2.9% 9.6% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.02 1.10 1.12 1.13 1.36 1.02 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Physical Therapy AVERAGE **SALARY: 67774** 3 3 50899 29 15 40250 50 17 38511 8 38657 6 23 10 43629 105 20 FAC MIX PCT: 2.9% 27.6% 47.6% 7.6% 21.9% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.24 1.20 1.15 1.17 1.34 1.01 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Physical Therapy AVERAGE **SALARY: 59715** 1.0 54949 20 44850 80 2.6 44126 14 11 41246 17 10 48372 29 151 FAC MIX PCT: 6.6% 29.1% 53.0% 9.3% 11.3% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.99 1.19 1.18 1.22 1.36 1.02 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of physical therapy was reported in 17 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 82 faculty was \$42,065. This average salary was approximately 4.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, physical therapy was reported in 21 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 104 faculty was \$49,033. This average salary was approximately 2.5 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of physical therapy in the public institutions studied was 16.6 percent (\$49,033 minus \$42,065 equals \$6,968). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in physical therapy average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 8.2 percent or an average of 2.7 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of physical therapy (16.6%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 7.5 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of physical therapy.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in physical therapy is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 8.5 percent vs. 45.1 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 15.4 percent vs. 37.5 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in physical therapy in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.7 percent (3/82) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 2.9 percent (3/104) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of physical therapy was reported in 20 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 105 faculty was \$43,629, an average salary 1.1 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 29 of the same 337 private institutions reported physical therapy. The average salary of the 151 faculty was \$48,372, an average salary 1.9 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in physical therapy in the private institutions studies was 10.9 percent (\$48,372 minus \$43,629 equals \$4,743). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of physical therapy over the three-year time period, is 2.5 percent or .8 percent each year above the cost-of-living.



The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to physical therapy (10.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .9 percent (10.9% minus 10.0% equals .9%) less than faculty in physical therapy.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of physical therapy, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 2.9 percent vs. 47.6 percent (1992-93); and 6.6 percent vs. 53.0 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in physical therapy was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 7.6 percent (8/105) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 9.3 percent (14/151) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of physical therapy and compares that information with both ALL
MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year"
of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 442
(.2%) faculty in the discipline/major field of physical therapy participated
and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four
studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212
public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States



participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of physical therapy in 1992-93 were four percent below and one percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in physical therapy in 1995-96 were two percent and two percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in physical therapy in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 2.7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .8 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in physical therapy, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of physical therapy is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of physical therapy has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN PHYSICS FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including physics. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of physics as,

An instructional program that generally describes the scientific study of matter and energy, and the formulation and testing of the laws governing the behavior of the matter-energy continuum. Includes instruction in classical and modern physics, electricity and magnetism, thermodynamics, mechanics, wave properties, nuclear processes, relativity and quantum theory, quantitative methods, and laboratory methods.*

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of physics for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which



participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of physics for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 28.6 for associate professors of physics in the 1992-93 public study means that 28.6 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.03 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of physics in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is three percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to
compare the discipline/major field of physics with the entire data base for
each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



<u>:</u>	PROF SALARY NUM N/	ASSO PROF IN SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN		
PUBLIC 1992-93: DISCIPLINE: PHYSICAL SCIENCES AVERAGE MAJOR FIELD: Physics, General								
	58435 609 1	38 45067 368 121	36363 282 115	35316 44 36	27869 27 17	49128 1286 160		
PCT: SALARY	47.4%	28.6%	21.9%	3.4%	2.1%	100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.07	1.03	1.01	1.02	1.04	1.12		
AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS								
	54518 19682	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212		
PCT:	33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%		
PUBLIC. AVERAGE	1995-96:		DISCIPLINE: PHYS					
	63210 611 1	43 48196 380 124	39539 270 120	37270 38 33	27085 27 19	53061 1288 165		
PCT: SALARY	47.4%	29.5%	21.0%	3.0%	2.1%	100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.06	1.02	1.02	1.02	0.93	1.11		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	59610 20428	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212		
PCT:	33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%		
PRIVATE, 92-93: DISCIPLINE: PHYSICAL SCIENCES AVERAGE MAJOR FIELD: Physics, General								
	60406 443 1	55 43428 233 125	36340 192 120	33599 26 24	29132 12 12	50233 880 220		
PCT: SALARY	50.3%	26.5%	21.8%	3.0%	1.4%	100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.11	1.03	1.04	1.02	1.01	1.16		
AVEDACE			ALL MAJOR	FIELDS				
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54539 11253	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337		
PCT:	31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%		
	<u>, 1995-96</u> :		DISCIPLINE: PHYS					
	66264 427 1	53 47768 252 137	39157 194 123	35766 33 33	30831 7 7	54710 880 223		
FAC MIX PCT:	48.5%	28.6%	22.0%	3.7%	0.8%	100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR:	1.10	1.03	1.03	0.99	1.01	1.15		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS								
	60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337		
FAC MIX PCT:	32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%		

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of physics was reported in 160 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,286 faculty was \$49,128. This average salary was approximately 12 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, physics was reported in 165 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,288 faculty was \$53,061. This average salary was approximately 10.9 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of physics in the public institutions studied was 8.0 percent (\$53,061 minus \$49,128 equals \$3,933). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in physics average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .4 percent or an average of .13 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of physics (8.0%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.1 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of physics.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in physics is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 47.4 percent vs. 21.9 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 47.4 percent vs. 21.0 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in physics in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.4 percent (44/1,286) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 2.9 percent (38/1,288) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of physics was reported in 220 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 880 faculty was \$50,233, an average salary 16.4 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 223 of the same 337 private institutions reported physics. The average salary of the 880 faculty was \$54,710, an average salary 15.3 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in physics in the private institutions studies was 8.9 percent (\$54,710 minus \$50,233 equals \$4,477). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of physics over the three-year time period, is .5 percent or .17 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to physics (8.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.1 percent (10.0% minus 8.9% equals 1.1%) more than faculty in physics.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of physics, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 50.3 percent vs. 21.8 percent (1992-93); and 48.5 percent vs. 22.0 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in physics was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.9 percent (26/880) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.7 percent (33/880) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of physics and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS
and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93
through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions,
and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year
and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 4,334 (2.3%)
faculty in the discipline/major field of physics participated and were included
in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in the
overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of physics in 1992-93 were 12 percent above and 16 percent above, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in physics in 1995-96 were 11 percent above and 15 percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in physics in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .13 percent below the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .17 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in physics, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of physics is firmly established and on going in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies were lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of physics has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN POLITICAL SCIENCE, GENERAL FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including political science. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of political science as,

An instructional program that describes the systematic study of political institutions and behavior. Includes instruction in politics, political parties and interest groups, public opinion, political research methods, studies of the government and politics of specific countries, and studies of specific political institutions and processes.*

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of political science for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487



institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of political science for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 27.2 for associate professors of political science in the 1992-93 public study means that 27.2 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.98 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of political science in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is two percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of political science with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW **ASSO** ASST ASST PROF PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY MAJOR FIELD: Political Science, General PUBLIC 1992-93: AVERAGE SALARY: 55102 551 151 362 1.36 42649 33673 365 125 31346 38 44 28039 51 33 44786 1329 167 FAC MIX PCT: 41.5% 27.2% 27.5% 3.3% 3.8% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.01 0.98 0.93 0.90 1.05 1.02 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Political Science, General **AVERAGE** SALARY: 59775 539 148 45319 407 144 36539 393 139 34020 78 58 29793 43 33 47977 1382 171 FAC MIX 39.0% PCT: 29.5% 28.4% 5.6% 3.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.00 0.96 0.940.94 1.02 1.00 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Political Science, General AVERAGE SALARY: 55616 412 172 41706 301 154 34110 302 140 32008 37 29 30445 31 44483 1059 226 44 FAC MIX PCT: 38.9% 28.4% 28.5% 3.5% 4.2% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.02 0.99 0.98 0.98 1.05 1.03 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Political Science, General AVERAGE SALARY: 61472 422 178 45285 315 159 37318 294 136 34964 53 42 33835 49294 23 20 1054 232 FAC MIX PCT: 40.0% 29.9% 27.9% 5,0% 2 - 2% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.02 0.98 0.98 0.97 1.11 1.04 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 1.1659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of political science was reported in 167 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,329 faculty was \$44,786. This average salary was approximately 2.1 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, political science was reported in 171 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,382 faculty was \$47,977. This average salary was approximately .2 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of political science in the public institutions studied was 7.1 percent (\$47,977 minus \$44,786 equals \$3,191). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in political science average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.3 percent or an average of .4 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of political science (7.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 2 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of political science.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in political science is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 41.5 percent vs. 27.5 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 39.0 percent vs. 28.4 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in political science in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.3 percent (44/1,329) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.6 percent (78/1,382) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of political science was reported in 226 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,059 faculty was \$44,483, an average salary 3.1 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 232 of the same 337 private institutions reported political science. The average salary of the 1,054 faculty was \$49,294, an average salary 3.8 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in political science in the private institutions studies was 10.8 percent (\$49,294 minus \$44,483 equals \$4,811). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of political science over the three-year time period, is 2.4 percent or .8 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to political science (10.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .8 percent (10.8% minus 10.0% equals .8%) less than faculty in political science.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of political science, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 38.9 percent vs. 28.5 percent (1992-93); and 40.0 percent vs. 27.9 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in political science was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.5 percent (37/1,059) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.0 percent (53/1,054) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of political science and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 4,824 (2.5%) faculty in the discipline/major field of political science participated and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of political science in 1992-93 were two and three percent above, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in political science in 1995-96 were the same as and four above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in political science in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .4 percent below the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .8 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in political science, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of political science is firmly established and on going in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 public and private studies was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the 1995-96 public and private studies the hiring rate was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of political science has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN PSYCHOLOGY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including psychology. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of psychology as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the scientific study of behavior of individuals, independently or collectively, and the physical and environmental bases of mental, emotional and neurological activity.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 136--42).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of psychology for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487



institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of psychology for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels; transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 27.7 for associate professors of psychology in the 1992-93 public study means that 27.7 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.97 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of psychology in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is three percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of psychology with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN						
PUBLIC 1992-93:		DISCIPLINE: MAJOR FIELD:									
AVERAGE SALARY: 53353 1016 176 FAC MIX	42132 664 166	33519 640 166	31999 132 81	28003 75 44	44148 2395 187						
PCT: 42.4% SALARY	27.7%	26.7%	5.5%	3.1%	100.0%						
FACTOR: 0.98	0.97	0.93	0.92	1.04	1.01						
ALL MAJOR FIELDS											
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212						
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%						
PUBLIC, 1995-96: AVERAGE		DISCIPLINE: MAJOR FIELD:									
SALARY: 58772 1066 177 FAC MIX	45201 690 169	36766 673 181	34867 108 82	29534 70 43	48279 2499 190						
PCT: 42.7% SALARY	27.6%	26.9%	4.3%	2.8%	190.0%						
FACTOR: 0.99	0.95	0.94	0.96	1.01	1.01						
ALL MAJOR FIELDS											
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 FAC MIX	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212						
PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%						
					. 						
DISCIPLINE: PSYCHOLOGY											
PRIVATE, 92-93: AVERAGE		MAJOR FIELD:									
SALARY: 52879 641 231 FAC MIX	40611 535 221	33159 474 221	31308 49 42	29087 36 29	42934 1686 308						
PCT: 38.0% SALARY	31.7%	28.1%	2.9%	2.1%	100.0%						
FACTOR: 0.97	0.96	0.95	0.95	1.01	1.00						
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR	R FIFLDS								
SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337						
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%						
DISCIPLINE: PSYCHOLOGY											
PRIVATE, 1995-96: AVERAGE		MAJOR FIELD:	-								
SALARY: 57988 673 243 FAC MIX	43867 563 237	35773 500 232		28874 29 27							
PCT: 38.1% SALARY	31.9%	28.3%	5.3%	1.6%	100.0%						
FACTOR: 0.97	0.95	0.94	0.97	0.95	0.98						
ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE											
SALARY: 60032 11948 FAC MIX	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337						
PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%						

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of psychology was reported in 187 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,395 faculty was \$44,148. This average salary was approximately .6 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, psychology was reported in 190 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,499 faculty was \$48,279. This average salary was approximately .9 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of psychology in the public institutions studied was 9.4 percent (\$48,279 minus \$44,148 equals \$4,131). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in psychology average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1 percent or an average of .3 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of psychology (9.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .3 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of psychology.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in psychology is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 42.4 percent vs. 26.7 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 42.7 percent vs. 26.9 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in psychology in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.5 percent (132/2,395) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.3 percent (108/2,499) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of psychology was reported in 308 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,686 faculty was \$42,934, an average salary .5 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 311 of the same 337 private institutions reported psychology. The average salary of the 1,765 faculty was \$46,712, an average salary 1.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in psychology in the private institutions studies was 8.8 percent (\$46,712 minus \$42,934 equals \$3,778). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of psychology over the three-year time period, is .4 percent or .13 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to psychology (8.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.2 percent (10.0% minus 8.8% equals 1.2%) more than faculty in psychology.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of psychology, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 38 percent vs. 28.1 percent (1992-93); and 38.1 percent vs. 28.3 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in psychology was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.9 percent (49/1,686) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.3 percent (93/1,765) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of psychology and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 8,345 (4.4%) faculty in the discipline/major field of psychology participated and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of psychology in 1992-93 were one percent above and the same as the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in psychology in 1995-96 were one percent above and two percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in psychology in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .3 percent above the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .13 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in psychology, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of psychology is firmly established and on going in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 public and the 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 private study and the 1995-96 public studies was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of psychology has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN PROTECTIVE SERVICES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including protective services. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of protective services as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the principles and procedures for providing police, fire and other safety services, and for managing penal institutions.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p.139--43).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of protective services for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of protective services for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 28.7 for associate professors of protective services in the 1992-93 public study means that 28.7 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of .92 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of protective services in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is two percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of protective services with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



•	PROF SALARY NUM N/IN				ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: PROTE			AS PI <u>SALARY</u>				RUCTOR NUM N		ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN			
	PUBLIC AVERAGE		<u>3</u> :					MAJOR FI												
	SALARY: FAC MIX	51820	1.13	45	40211	114	50 .	32711	147	53	32212	19	12	26282	2.3	13	39931	397	64	
	PCT: SALARY	28.5%			28.7%			37.0%			4.8%	•		5.8%			100.0%			
	FACTOR:	0.95			0.92			0.91			0.93			0.98			0.91			
									FIELDS	FIELDS										
	AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54518	19682		43644	1724	9	36026	17758	•	34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212	
	PCT:	33.6%			29.5%			30.3%			4.2%			6.6%			100.0%			
	PUBLIC,		<u>96</u> :					DISCIPLI MAJOR FI												
	SALARY:	54819	120	46	44073	119	53	36624	150	56	34681	31	26	29391	36	20	43235	425	64	
	FAC MIX	28.2%			28.0%			35.3%			7.3%			8.5%			1.00.0%			
	SALARY FACTOR:	0.92			0.93			0.94			0.95			1.01			0.90			
				•					ALL M	A.JOR	FIELDS									
	AVERAGE SALARY:	59610	20428		47366	1825	54	38928	17820		36373	2811		291.06	3838		47858	60340	212	
	FAC MIX PCT:	33.9%			30.3%			29.5%			4.7%			6.4%			100.0%		•	
					· -															
DISCIPLINE: PROTECTIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Protective Services																				
	AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54273	23	10	38760	17	14	32775	32	21	29125	4	4	32493	7	4	40297	79	30	
	PCT: SALARY	29.1%			21.5%			40.5%			5.1%			8.9%			100.0%			
	FACTOR:	1.00			0.92			0.94			0.89			1.12			0.93			
	AUCDACE		•						ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS									
	AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54539	11253		42331	1086	52	34956	11225		32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337	
-	PCT:	31.9%			30.8%			318%			4.0%			5.5%			100.0%			
	PRIVATE		<u>-96</u> :		•			DISCIPLI MAJOR FI											-	
	AVERAGE SALARY:	55573	19	9	40685	21	13	34927	26	17	32833	3	3	26989	5	5	41596	71	27	
	FAC MIX	26.8%			29.6%			36.6%			4.2%			7.0%			100.0%			
	SALARY FACTOR:	0.93			0.88			0.92		•	0.91			0.89			0.88			
									AĽL M	AJOR	FIELDS									
	AVERAGE SALARY:	60032	11948		46167	1165	9	37984			36092	1807		30425	1684		47463	36513	337	
	FAC MIX PCT:	32.7%			31.9%			30.7%			4.9%			4.6%			100.0%			

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of protective services was reported in 64 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 397 faculty was \$39,931. This average salary was approximately 9.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, protective services was reported in 64 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 425 faculty was \$42,872. This average salary was approximately 10.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of protective services in the public institutions studied was 8.3 percent (\$43,235 minus \$39,931 equals \$3,304). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in protective services average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .1 percent or an average of .03 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of protective services (8.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .8 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of protective services.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in protective services is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 28.5 percent vs. 37.0 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is lower at the professor rank than



at the assistant professor rank: 28.2 percent vs. 35.3 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in protective services in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.3 percent (19/397) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 7.3 percent (31/425) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of protective services was reported in 30 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 79 faculty was \$40,297, an average salary 7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 27 of the same 337 private institutions reported protective services. The average salary of the 71 faculty was \$41,596, an average salary 14.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in protective services in the private institutions studies was 3.2 percent (\$41,596 minus \$40,297 equals \$1,299). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of protective services over the three-year time period, is 5.2 percent or 1.7 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR



FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to protective services (3.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 6.8 percent (10.0% minus 3.2% equals 6.8%) less than faculty in protective services.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of protective services, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 29.1 percent vs. 40.5 percent (1992-93); and 26.8 percent vs. 36.6 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in protective services was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 5.1 percent (4/79) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.2 percent (3/71) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of protective services and compares that information with both ALL
MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year"
of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 972
(.5%) faculty in the discipline/major field of protective services participated
and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four
studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212
public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.



Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of protective services in 1992-93 were nine percent and seven percent below, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in protective services in 1995-96 were ten percent and 12 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in protective services in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .03 percent below the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.7 percent below the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in protective services, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of protective services is still an emerging discipline/field in academia.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the discipline-/major field of protective services for the 1995-96 private study was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies and in the 1992-93 private study, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the discipline/major field of protective services was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of protective services has now been developed,



it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{B}}$ LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN public health FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including public health. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of public health as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that prepare individuals to provide publicly supervised health services to community, regional, national and international health services.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p.183--51.22]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of public health for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of public health for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.2 for associate professors of public health in the 1992-93 public study means that 28.7 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of .93 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of public health in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is seven percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of public health with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



<u>şalar</u>	<u> </u>	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			A	EW SST ROF NUM N	i/IN	INST <u>SALARY</u>	RUCTOR		ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN			
PUBLIC 1992-	<u>93</u> :		!	DISCIP					ONS AND Health			CIENCES					
AVERAGE SALARY: 5745 FAC MIX	0 63	1.7	40626	69	20	35235	63	20	34130	14	9	29606	26	12	42589	221	26
PCT: 28.5 SALARY	%		31.2%			28.5%			6.3%			11.8%			100.0%		
FACTOR: 1.0	5		0.93			0.98			0.98			1.10			0.97		•
AUEDACE	ALL MAJOR FIELDS																
AVERAGE SALARY: 5451 FAC MIX	8 19682	2	43644	17249		36026	17758	1	34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212
PCT: 33.6	%		29.5%			30.3%			4.2%			6.6%			100.0%		
PUBLIC, 1995	<u>-96</u> :		1	DISCIP					ONS AND Health			CIENCES					
AVERAGE SALARY: 5946 FAC MIX	8 83	23	46003	74	26	37210	82	26	36472	1.8	9	30226	24	10	46071	263	30
PCT: 31.6 SALARY	%		28.1%			31.2%			6.8%			9.1%			100.0%		
FACTOR: 1.0	0		0.97			0.96			1.00	•		1.04			0.96		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS																	
AVERAGE SALARY: 5961 FAC MIX	0 20428	3	47366	18254		38928	17820	ı	36373	2811		29106	3838		47858	60340	212
PCT: 33.9	%		30.3%			29.5%			4.7%			6.4%			100.0%		
	- -	. - -													-,		
PRIVATE, 92-	<u>93</u> :		1	DISCIP					ONS AND Health			CIENCES					
AVERAGE SALARY: 6564 FAC MIX	9 86	6	47795	79	8	37913	111	7	37626	7	4	30467	18	4	48226	294	1.2
PCT: 29.3 SALARY	%		26.9%	,		37.8%			2.4%	•		6.1%			100.0%		
FACTOR: 1.2	0		1.13			1.08			1.15			1.05			1.12		
AVEDACE							ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: 5453 FAC MIX	9 11253	3	42331	10862		34956	11225		32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337
PCT: 31.9	% .		30.8%			31.8%			4.0%			5.5%			100.0%		
DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Public Health, General																	
AVERAGE SALARY: 8192	7 101	4	53782	83	6	45581	97	8	42341		1	33592	29	4	58497	310	11.
FAC MIX PCT: 32.6	*		26.8%			31.3%			3.5%			9.4%			100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR: 1.3	6		1.16			1.20		•	1.17			1.10			1.23		
							ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: 6003	2 11948	3	46167	11659		37984			36092			30425	1684		47463	36513	337
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7			31.9%			30.7%			4.9%			4.6%	1004		100.0%	50515	



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of public health was reported in 26 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 221 faculty was \$42,589. This average salary was approximately 3.0 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, public health was reported in 30 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 263 faculty was \$46,071. This average salary was approximately 3.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of public health in the public institutions studied was 8.2 percent (\$46,071 minus \$42,589 equals \$3,482). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in public health average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .2 percent or an average of .07 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of public health (8.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .9 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of public health.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in public health is the same at the professor rank as it is at the assistant professor rank: 28.5 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is higher at the professor



rank than at the assistant professor rank: 31.6 percent vs. 31.2 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in public health in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 6.3 percent (14/221) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 6.8 percent (18/263) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of public health was reported in 12 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 294 faculty was \$48,226, an average salary 11.8 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 11 of the same 337 private institutions reported public health. The average salary of the 310 faculty was \$58,497, an average salary 23.2 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in public health in the private institutions studies was 21.3 percent (\$58,497 minus \$48,226 equals \$10,271). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of public health over the three-year time period, is 12.9 percent or 4.3 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR



FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to public health (21.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 11.3 percent (21.3% minus 10.0% equals 11.3%) less than faculty in public health.

In the 1992-93 study in the discipline/major field of public health, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 29.3 percent vs. 37.8 percent. However, in the 1995-96 study the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 32.6 percent vs. 31.3 percent. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in public health was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.4 percent (7/294) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.5 percent (11/310) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of public health and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR
FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of
1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 1,088
(.6%) faculty in the discipline/major field of public health participated and
were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies
and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public



institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of public health in 1992-93 were three percent below and 12 percent above, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in public health in 1995-96 were four percent below and 23 percent above below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in public health in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .07 percent below the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 4.3 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in the 1992-93 public study in the discipline/major of public health the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are the same as those for the assistant professor rank. In the public and private 1995-96 studies the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank. In the private 1992-93 study the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than the assistant profeesor rank. These data indicate that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of public health is still an emerging discipline/field in academia.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the discipline-/major field of public health for the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the 1992-93 and



1995-96 private studies, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the discipline/major field of public health was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of public health has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN READING TEACHER EDUCATION FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including reading teacher education. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of reading teacher education as,

An instructional program that prepares individuals to diagnose reading difficulties and to teach reading programs at various educational levels.*

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of reading teacher education for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of reading teacher education for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.9 for associate professors of reading teacher education in the 1992-93 public study means that 31.9 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.96 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of reading teacher education in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is four percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of reading teacher education with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



	PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			ASSI PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			A: P:	EW SST ROF NUM N	<u>i/ IN</u>	INST SALARY	RUCTOR NUM_N	: :/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN			
PUBLIC	1992-9	<u>3</u> :				MAJ	DIS OR FIELD			EDUCATION Teacher		atio	1						
	52516	51	19	41836	46	20	35546	35	19	38021	3	3	28189	12	7	42953	144	3.1	
FAC MIX PCT: SALARY	35.4%			31.9%			24.3%			2.1%			8.3%			100.0%			
	0.96			0.96			0.99			1.10			105			0.98			
								ALL MA	AJOR	FIELDS									
AVERAGI SALARY: FAC MIX	: 54518	19682		43644	1724	.9	36026	17758		34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212	
PCT:	33.6%			29.5%			30.3%			4.2%			6.6%			100.0%			
							DIS	CIPLI	NE:	EDUCATION	ON			•					
PUBLIC AVERAGI	<u>. 1995-</u> E	<u>96</u> :				MAJ	OR FIELD	: Read	ding	Teacher	r Educ	atio	า						
	: 50835	62	27	44263	34	16	36985	36	20	35819	9	6	29739	9	8	44367	141	35	
PCT: SALARY	44.0%			24.1%			25.5%			6.4%	•		6.4%			100.0%			
FACTOR	0.85			0.93			0.95			0.98			1.02			0.93			
ALL MAJOR FIELDS																			
AVERAGI SALARY: FAC MIX	59610	20428	1	47366	1825	4	38928	17820		36373	2811		29106	3838		47858	60340	212	
PCT:	33.9%			30.3%			29.5%			4.7%			6.4%			100.0%			
DBTU A BRIT		•								EDUCATIO									
AVERAGI		_					OR FIELD	: Read	11ng	Teacher	r Educ	atio	1						
SALARY: FAC MIX	: 54786 {	7	6	39285	1.2	9	36602	6	5	39000	1	1	24102	4	4	40377	29	20	
PCT: SALARY	24.1%			41.4%			20.7%			3.4%			13.8%			100.0%			
	1.00			0.93			1.05			1.19			0.83			0.94			
	=						•	ALL MA	↓ JOR	FIELDS									
AVERAGI SALARY: FAC MIX	54539	11253		42331	1086	2	34956	11225		32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337	
PCT:	`31.9%			30.8%			31.8%			4.0%			5.5%			100.0%			
							DIS	CIPLI	NE:	EDUCATION	ON								
PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Reading Teacher Education AVERAGE																			
	63771	9	8	44370	8	7	35771	10	9				29139	2	2	46375	29	19	
PCT:	31.0%			27.6%			34.5%						6.9%			100.0%			
SALARY FACTOR:	1.06			0.96			0.94						0.96			0.98			
	_							ALL MA	AJOR	FIELDS									
AVERAGI SALARY:	E 60032	11948	;	46167	1165	9		11222		36092	1807		30425	1684		1,71,63	36513	337	
FAC MIX				31.9%		-	30.7%	11000		4.9%			4.6%	1004		100.0%	20713	וננ	
													•						



RESULTS OF THE TWO FUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of reading teacher education was reported in 31 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 144 faculty was \$42,953. This average salary was approximately 2.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, reading teacher education was reported in 35 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 141 faculty was \$44,367. This average salary was approximately 7.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of reading teacher education in the public institutions studied was 3.3 percent (\$44,367 minus \$42,953 equals \$1,414). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in reading teacher education average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 5.1 percent or an average of 1.7 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of reading teacher education (3.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 5.8 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of reading teacher education.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in reading teacher education is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank:

35.4 percent vs. 24.3 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 44.0 percent vs. 25.5



percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in reading teacher education in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.1 percent (3/144) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 6.4 percent (9/141) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of reading teacher education was reported in 20 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 29 faculty was \$40,377, an average salary 6.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 19 of the same 337 private institutions reported reading teacher education. The average salary of the 29 faculty was \$46,375, an average salary 2.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in reading teacher education in the private institutions studies was 14.8 percent (\$46,375 minus \$40,377 equals \$5,998). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of reading teacher education over the three-year time period, is 6.4 percent or 2.1 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to reading teacher education (14.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 4.8 percent (14.8% minus 10.0% equals 4.8%) less than faculty in reading teacher education.

In the the discipline/major field of reading teacher education, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank for the 1992-93 study: 24.1 percent vs. 20.7 percent. In the 1995-96 private study the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 31.0 percent vs. 34.5 percent. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in reading teacher education was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.4 percent (1/29) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 0.0 percent (0/29) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of reading teacher education and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. "baseline year" of studies--one for public institutions, and the other for institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 343 (.2%) faculty in the discipline/major field of reading teacher education participated and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same



337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of reading teacher education in 1992-93 were two percent and six percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in reading teacher education in 1995-96 were seven percent and two percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in reading teacher education in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.7 percent below the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 2.1 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public private studies in reading teacher education, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in the public studies the discipline/major field of reading teacher education is firmly established and on going in the academy. However, in the 1992-93 private study in reading teacher education, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, while they are higher in the 1995-96 private study, indicating that in the the private studies the discipline/major field of reading teacher education is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in reading teacher education in the 1992-93 public study and in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96



private studies were lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the public 1995-96 study the hiring rate for new assistant professors in reading teacher education was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of reading teacher education has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN SOCIAL SCIENCES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including social sciences. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of social sciences as,

An instructional program that generally describes the study of human social behavior and social institutions using any of the methodologies common to the social sciences and/or history, or an undifferentiated program of study in the social sciences.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 143--45.0101).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of social sciences for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487



institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of social sciences for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.5 for associate professors of social sciences in the 1992-93 public study means that 31.5 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.02 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of social sciences in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is two percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of social sciences with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASST ASS0 ASST PROF PROF **PROF** PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY MIM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY_NUM DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Social Sciences, General AVERAGE SALARY: 56806 197 40 44421 196 41 36067 197 39 32773 25 27281 44816 52 32 14 622 FAC MIX PCT: 31.7% 31.5% 31.7% 4.0% 5.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.04 1.02 1.00 0.95 1.02 1.02 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Social Sciences, General AVERAGE SALARY: 58432 222 35 46335 166 35286 166 39 32803 30 16 29563 21 12 47203 575 42 FAC MIX PCT: 38.6% 28.9% 28.9% 5.2% 3.7% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.98 0.98 0.91 0.90 1.02 0.99 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29 5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Social Sciences, General AVERAGE SALARY: 43280 102 38 37799 30943 81 41 97 29520 36 17 12 24339 10 36969 290 58 FAC MIX PCT: 35.2% 27.9% 33.4% 5.9% 3.4% 1.00.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.79 0.89 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.86 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Social Sciences, General AVERAGE SALARY: 52438 104 35 45138 84 34484 94 35 33421 14 11 23748 14 43308 296 53 FAC MIX PCT: 35.1% 28.4% 31.8% 4.7% 4.7% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.87 0.98 0.91 0.930.78 0.91 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABILE

RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of social sciences was reported in 52 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 622 faculty was \$44,816. This average salary was approximately 2.1 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, social sciences was reported in 42 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 575 faculty was \$47,203. This average salary was approximately 1.4 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of social sciences in the public institutions studied was 5.3 percent (\$47,203 minus \$44,816 equals \$2,387). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in social sciences average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 3.1 percent or an average of 1 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of social sciences (5.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.8 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of social sciences.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in social sciences is the same at the professor rank as the assistant professor rank; in the 1995-96 study it is higher: 38.6 percent vs. 28.9 percent. The differences in faculty



mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in social sciences in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.0 percent (25/622) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.2 percent (30/575) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of social sciences was reported in 58 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 290 faculty was \$36,969, an average salary 16.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 53 of the same 337 private institutions reported social sciences. The average salary of the 296 faculty was \$43,308, an average salary 9.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in social sciences in the private institutions studies was 17.1 percent (\$43,308 minus \$36,969 equals \$6,339). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of social sciences over the three-year time period, is 8.7 percent or 2.9 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to social sciences (17.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 7.1 percent (17.1% minus 10.0% equals 7.1%) less than faculty in social sciences.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of social sciences, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 35.2 percent vs. 33.4 percent (1992-93); and 35.1 percent vs. 31.8 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in social sciences was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 5.9 percent (17/290) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.7 percent (14/296) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of social sciences and compares that information with both ALL
MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year"
of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 1,783
(.9%) faculty in the discipline/major field of social sciences participated and
were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies
and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of social sciences in 1992-93 were two percent above and 14 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in social sciences in 1995-96 were one percent below and nine percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in social sciences in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1 percent below the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 2.9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in the 1992-93 public study the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are the same as the assistant professor rank. However, in the 1995-96 public and the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies in social sciences, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of social sciences is firmly established and on going in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 public study and 1995-96 private study was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 private and 1995-96 public study was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of social sciences has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN SOCIAL WORK FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including social work. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of social work as,

An instructional program that prepares individuals for the professional practice of social welfare administration and counseling, and that describes the study of organized means providing basic support services for individuals and Includes instruction in social groups. welfare policy; case work planning; social counseling and intervention strategies; administrative procedures regulations; and specific applications in areas such as child welfare and family services, probation, employment services, and disability counseling.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 142--44.0701).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of social work for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institu



tions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of social work for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for



a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 35.1 for associate professors of social work in the 1992-93 public study means that 35.1 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.04 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of social work in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is four percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of social work with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW **ASSO** ASST ASST **PROF** PROF PROF **PROF** INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Social Work AVERAGE SALARY: 55653 118 48 45340 170 64 35228 176 75 34373 27 23 27550 21 16 43409 485 80 FAC MIX PCT: 24.3% 35.1% 36.3% 5.6% 4.3% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.02 1.04 0.98 0.99 0.99 1.03 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Social Work AVERAGE SALARY: 57659 128 57 46949 181 70 37826 250 83 36309 47 34 30494 44308 600 97 FAC MIX PCT: 21.3% 30.2% 41.7% 7.8% 6.8% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.97 0.99 0.97 1.00 1.95 0.93 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Social Work AVERAGE SALARY: 46063 21 55 37795 125 58 32509 117 60 31753 18 25447 11 16 12 36641 313 83 FAC MIX PCT: 17.6% 39.9% 37.4% 5.8% 5.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.84 0.89 0.93 0.97 0.88 0.85 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX 31.9% PCT: 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Social Work AVERAGE SALARY: 50553 63 34 42136 123 66 34679 143 69 32178 19 16 29922 14 40074 13 343 96 FAC MIX PCT: 18.4% 35.9% 41.7% 5.5% 4.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.84 0.91 0.91 0.89 0.98 0.84 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 . 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of social work was reported in 80 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 485 faculty was \$43,409. This average salary was approximately 1.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, social work was reported in 97 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 600 faculty was \$44,308. This average salary was approximately 8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of social work in the public institutions studied was 2.1 percent (\$44,308 minus \$43,409 equals \$899). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in social work average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 6.3 percent or an average of 2.1 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of social work (2.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 7 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of social work.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in social work is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 24.3 percent vs. 36.3 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 21.3 percent vs. 41.7 percent. The differ-



ences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in social work in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.6 percent (27/485) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 7.8 percent (47/600) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of social work was reported in 83 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 313 faculty was \$36,641, an average salary 17.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 96 of the same 337 private institutions reported social work. The average salary of the 343 faculty was \$40,074, an average salary 18.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in social work in the private institutions studies was 9.4 percent (\$40,074 minus \$36,641 equals \$3,433). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of social work over the three-year time period, is 1.0 percent or .3 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to social work (9.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .6 percent (10.0% minus 9.4% equals .6%) more than faculty in social work.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of social work, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 17.6 percent vs. 37.4 percent (1992-93); and 18.4 percent vs. 41.7 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in social work was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 5.7 percent (18/313) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.5 percent (19/343) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of social work and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 1,741 (.9%) faculty in the discipline/major field of social work participated and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of social work in 1992-93 were one percent and 15 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in social work in 1995-96 were seven percent and 16 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in social work in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 2.1 percent below the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .3 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in social work, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of social work is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of social work has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN SOCIOLOGY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including sociology. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of sociology as,

instructional program that describes the systematic study social institutions and social relationships. Includes instruction in social theory, sociological research methods. social organization and structure stratification and hierarchies, dynamics of social change, structures, social deviance and control, and applications to the study of specific social groups, social institutions, and social problems.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 148--45.1101).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of sociology for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institu-



tions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of sociology for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for



a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.7 for associate professors of sociology in the 1992-93 public study means that 31.7 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.93 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of sociology in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is seven percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of sociology with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN		INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN		
DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Sociology AVERAGE							
SALARY: 50499 471 132 FAC MIX	40580 400 137	33037 353 130	31957 45 37	25901 39 30	41718 1263 162		
PCT: 37.3% SALARY	31.7%	27.9%	3.6%	3.1%	100.0%		
FACTOR: 0.93	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.97	0.95		
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS				
SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212		
PCT: 33.6%	?9.5%	30.3%	1.2%	6.6%	100.0%		
DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Sociology							
AVERAGE SALARY: 55628 504 138 FAC MIX	44613 377 134	36084 358 133	33657 56 46	28542 47 37	45968 1286 164		
PCT: 39.2% SALARY	29.3%	27.8%	4.4%	3.7%	100.0%		
FACTOR: 0.93	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.98	0.96		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS							
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212		
FAC MIX PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%		
DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Sociology							
AVERAGE SALARY: 51409 286 147 FAC MIX	40868 311 163	33265 241 146	31438 36 34	30170 19 16	42011 857 250		
PCT: 33.4% SALARY	36.3%	28.1%	4.2%	2.2%	100.0%		
FACTOR: 0.94	0.97	0.95	0.96	1.04	0.97		
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS				
SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337		
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%		
DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Sociology							
AVERAGE SALARY: 56486 311 163	44461 293 159	36143 239 142	34140 46 41	31553 20 17	46191 863 252		
FAC MIX PCT: 36.0%	34.0%	27.7%	5.3%	2.3%	100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR: 0.94	0.96	0.95	0.95	1.04	0.97		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS							
AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337		
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%		



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of sociology was reported in 162 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,263 faculty was \$41,718. This average salary was approximately 5.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, sociology was reported in 164 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,286 faculty was \$45,968. This average salary was approximately 4.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of sociology in the public institutions studied was 10.2 percent (\$45,968 minus \$41,718 equals \$4,250). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in sociology average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.8 percent or an average of .6 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of sociology (10.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.1 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of sociology.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in sociology is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 37.3 percent vs. 27.9 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 39.2 percent vs. 27.8 percent. The differ-



ences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in sociology in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.6 percent (45/1,263) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.3 percent (56/1,286) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of sociology was reported in 250 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 857 faculty was \$42,011, an average salary 2.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 252 of the same 337 private institutions reported sociology. The average salary of the 863 faculty was \$46,191, an average salary 2.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in sociology in the private institutions studies was 9.9 percent (\$46,191 minus \$42,011 equals \$4,180). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of sociology over the three-year time period, is 1.5 percent or .5 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to sociology (9.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .1 percent (10.0% minus 9.9% equals .1%) more than faculty in sociology.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of sociology, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 33.4 percent vs. 28.1 percent; and higher in the 1995-96 study: 36.0 percent vs. 27.7 percent. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in sociology was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.2 percent (36/857) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.3 percent (46/863) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of sociology and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR
FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of
1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 4,269
(2.2%) faculty in the discipline/major field of sociology participated and were
included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four studies and in
the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of sociology in 1992-93 were five percent and three percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in sociology in 1995-96 were four percent and three percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in sociology in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .6 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .5 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in sociology, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in the public and private studies the discipline/major field of sociology is firmly established and on going in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. In the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies, however, the hiring rate for new assistant professors was higher than for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of sociology has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



q

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN SPECIAL EDUCATION FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone. North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including special education. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of special education as,

An instructional program that generally describes the design and provision of teaching and other educational services to with children or adults special learning needs or disabilities, and that may prepare individuals to function as instruction special education teachers. Includes learning disabilities, developing individual education plans, teaching and supervising special education students, special education counseling, and applicable laws and policies.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 78--13.1001).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of special education for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institu-



tions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of special education for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 28.0 for associate professors of special education in the 1992-93 public study means that 28.0 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.94 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of special education in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is six percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of special education with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN			
PUBLIC 1992-93:	. MAJOI	DISCIPLINE: R FIELD: Special	EDUCATION Education, Genera	1			
AVERAGE SALARY: 49966 176 59 FAC MIX	41165 139 60	33317 155 59	32670 29 25	26880 26 18	41086 496 74		
PCT: 35.5% SALARY	28.0%	31.3%	5.8%	5.2%	100.0%		
FACTOR: 0.92	0.94	0.92	0.94	1.00	0.94		
		ALL MAJO	R FIELDS				
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212		
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%		
DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Special Education, General							
AVERAGE SALARY: 55690 186 64	45657 152 63	36991 175 67	•		45469 541 84		
FAC MIX PCT: 34.4%	28.1%	32.3%	7.8%	5.2%	100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR: 0.93	0.96	0.95	0.97	1.01	0.95		
		. ALL MAJO	R FIELDS				
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428	47366 18254		36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212		
FAC MIX PCT: 33.9%	30.3%		4.7%	6.4%	100.0%		
	. .			_ -			
DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Special Education, General							
AVERAGE SALARY: 46770 35 19	42578 33 22	33518 33 24	·		40566 104 40		
FAC MIX PCT: 33.7%	31.7%	31.7%	6.7%	2.9%	100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR: 0.86	1.01	0.96	1.02	0.82	0.94		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS							
AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253	42331 10862		32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337		
FAC MIX PCT: 31.9%	30.8%			5.5%	100.0%		
PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION							
PRIVATE, 1995-96:	OLAM		Education, Genera	11			
AVERAGE SALARY: 60684 38 18	44126 39 24	35342 39 28	34196 6 6	25876 8 6	45260 124 41		
FAC MIX PCT: 30.6%	31.5%	31.5%	4.8%	6.5%	100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR: 1.01	0.96	0.93	0.95	0.85	0.95		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS							
AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337		
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%		
- Cut Fre	22170	- · · · ·			=======		



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of special education was reported in 74 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 496 faculty was \$41,086. This average salary was approximately 6.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, special education was reported in 84 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 541 faculty was \$45,469. This average salary was approximately 5.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of special education in the public institutions studied was 10.7 percent (\$45,469 minus \$41,086 equals \$4,383). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in special education average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 2.3 percent or an average of .77 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of special education (10.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.6 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of special education.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in special education is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 35.5 percent vs. 31.3 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 34.4 percent vs. 32.3 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in special education in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.8 percent (29/496) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 7.8 percent (42/541) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of special education was reported in 40 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 104 faculty was \$40,566, an average salary 6.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 41 of the same 337 private institutions reported special education. The average salary of the 124 faculty was \$45,260, an average salary 4.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in special education in the private institutions studies was 11.6 percent (\$45,260 minus \$40,566 equals \$4,694). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of special education over the three-year time period, is 3.2 percent or 1.1 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to special education (11.6%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.6 percent (11.6% minus 10.0% equals 1.6%) less than faculty in special education.

In the private 1992-93 study for the discipline/major field of special education, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 33.7 percent vs. 31.7 percent. However, for the private 1995-96 study the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank than the assistant professor rank: 30.6 percent vs. 31.5 percent. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in special education was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 6.7 percent (7/104) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.8 percent (6/124) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of special education and compares that information with both ALL
MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year"
of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 1,265
(.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of special education participated
and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four
studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212
public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States



participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of special education in 1992-93 were six percent and six percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in special education in 1995-96 were five percent and five percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in special education in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .77 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.1 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in 1995-96 private study in special education, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank. However, in both the 1992-93 public and private studies and in the 1995-96 public study the in special education, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in the public and private studies the discipline/major field of special education is firmly established and on going in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the private 1995-96 study was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and 1992-93 private studies the hiring rate for new assistant professors was higher than for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the



academic discipline/major field of special education has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN SPEECH PATHOLOGY AND AUDIOLOGY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including speech pathology and audiology. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology as,

instructional program that prepares individuals to provide persons with hearing and related care to communications disorders. Includes instruction principles of audiology; structure and development of hearing communications disorders; speech disorder and hearing loss identification and assessment; aural rehabilitations: psychosocial and educational effects of speech and hearing disorders: the planning and management of patient and therapy.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 169-170--51.0204).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same



institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 30.2 for associate professors of speech pathology and audiology in the 1992-93 public study means that 30.2 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of .95 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is five percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



	PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN		
PUBLIC AVERAGI	<u> 1992-93</u> :	DISCIPLINE MAJOR FIELD:	: HEALTH PROFESSI Speech-Language	ONS AND RELATED S and Pathology and	CIENCES Audiology			
	: 52817 100 45	41538 103 45	33780 105 43	32818 16 14	27719 33 19	41119 341 56		
PCT: SALARY	29.3%	30.2%	30.8%	4.7%	9.7%	100.0%		
FACTOR:	: 0.97	0.95	0.94	0.95	1.03	0.94		
AVERAGI	ALL MAJOR FIELDS							
	: 54518 19682	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212		
PCT:	33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%		
DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES AVERAGE DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES MAJOR FIELD: Speech-Language and Pathology and Audiology								
	: 56957 125 53	46230 139 48	38431 156 55	34283 18 17	30579 40 22	45139 460 64		
PCT: SALARY	27.2%	30.2%	33.9%	3.9%	8.7%	100.0%		
FACTOR:	: 0.96	0.98	0.99	0.94	1.05	0.94		
ΛVERAGE	7		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS				
	59610 20428	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212		
PCT:	33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%		
PRIVATE, 92-93: DISCIPLINE: HEALTH PROFESSIONS AND RELATED SCIENCES MAJOR FIELD: Speech-Language and Pathology and Audiology								
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54940 19 12	41047 14 7	33668 20 10	36000 1 1	33541 5 4	42407 58 15		
PCT: SALARY	32.8%	24.1%	34.5%	1.7%	8.6%	100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.01	0.97	0.96	1.10	1.16	0.98		
AVEDACE			ALL MAJOR	FIELDS				
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54539 11253	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337		
PCT:	31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%		
PRIVATE AVERAGE	. 1995-96:	DISCIPLINE: MAJOR FIELD:	HEALTH PROFESSION Speech-Language a	ONS AND RELATED So and Pathology and	CIENCES Audiology			
SALARY:	62221 16 12	43804 26 14	37419 39 15	34976 7 6	33037 9 6	43235 90 20		
FAC MIX	17.6%	28.9%	43.3%	7.8%	10.0%	43235 90 20 100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR:	1.04	0.95	0.99	0.97	1.09	0.91		
AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS								
	60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337		
PCT:	32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%		



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology was reported in 56 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 341 faculty was \$41,119. This average salary was approximately 6.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, speech pathology and audiology was reported in 64 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 460 faculty was \$45,139. This average salary was approximately 6 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology in the public institutions studied was 9.8 percent (\$45,139 minus \$41,119 equals \$4,020). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in speech pathology and audiology average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.4 percent or an average of .47 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology (9.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .7 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in speech pathology and audiology is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank:

29.3 percent vs. 30.8 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 27.2 percent vs. 33.9



percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in speech pathology and audiology in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.7 percent (16/341) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.9 percent (18/460) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology was reported in 15 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 58 faculty was \$42,407, an average salary 1.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 20 of the same 337 private institutions reported speech pathology and audiology. The average salary of the 90 faculty was \$43,235, an average salary 9.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in speech pathology and audiology in the private institutions studies was 1.9 percent (\$43,235 minus \$42,407 equals \$828). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of speech pathology and audiology over the three-year time period, is 6.5 percent or 2.2 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR



FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to speech pathology and audiology (1.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 8.1 percent (10.0% minus 1.9% equals 8.1%) more than faculty in speech pathology and audiology.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 32.8 percent vs. 34.5 percent (1992-93); and 17.6 percent vs. 43.3 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in speech pathology and audiology was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 1.7 percent (1/58) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 7.8 percent (7/90) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology and compares that information
with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the
"baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies-one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were
conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four
studies. A total of 949 (.5%) faculty in the discipline/major field of speech
pathology and audiology participated and were included in the 51 disciplines
/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712
participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 pri-



vate institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology in 1992-93 were two percent and six percent below, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in speech pathology and audiology in 1995-96 were six percent and nine percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in speech pathology and audiology in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .47 percent above the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 2.2 percent below the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in speech pathology and audiology, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology is still an emerging discipline/field in academia.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 public study and in the 1995-96 private study was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 private study the hiring rate for new assistant professors was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.



Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of speech pathology and audiology has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN TEACHER EDUCATION FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including teacher education. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of teacher education as,

A group of instructional programs that prepare individuals to teach subject matter in specific academic and vocational programs at various educational levels.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p.80--13.13).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of teacher education for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of teacher education for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 25.3 for associate professors of teacher education in the 1992-93 public study means that 25.3 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of .93 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of teacher education in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is seven percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of teacher education with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASST **ASSO** ASST PROF PROF **PROF** PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Teacher Education/Specific Academic & Vocational Pgms AVERAGE SALARY: 49424 261 40534 235 33889 334 31.630 45 26 26513 39162 928 65 FAC MIX PCT: 28.1% 25.3% 36.0% 4.8% 10.6% 100.0% SALARY 0.91 FACTOR: 0.91 0.93 0.94 0.99 0.89 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Teacher Education/Specific Academic & Vocational Pgms **AVERAGE** SALARY: 51952 52 43079 260 41482 929 67 244 59 36196 339 61 33808 49 29 27790 86 28 FAC MIX 9.3% 100.0% 26.3% 28.0% 36.5% 5.3% PCT: SALARY 0.93 FACTOR: 0.87 0.91 0.93 0.95 0.87 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 33.9% 100.0% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% PCT: DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Teacher Education/Specific Academic & Vocational Pgms AVERAGE SALARY: 44333 44 123 31984 147 61 30471 30 21 36063 388 77 57 26333 FAC MIX 22.7% PCT: 31.7% 37.9% 7.0% 7.7% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.81 0.88 0.91 0.93 0.91 0.84 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION MAJOR FIELD: Teacher Education/Specific Academic & Vocational Pgms PRIVATE, 1995-96: AVERAGE SALARY: 50320 42038 136 35064 164 34214 27 19 40800 417 68 52 28975 16 13 FAC MIX PCT: 32.6% 39.3% 6.5% 3.8% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.84 0.91 0.92 0.95 0.950.86 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 46167 11659 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of teacher education was reported in 65 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 928 faculty was \$39,162. This average salary was approximately 12 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, teacher education was reported in 67 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 929 faculty was \$41,482. This average salary was approximately 15.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of teacher education in the public institutions studied was 5.9 percent (\$41,482 minus \$39,162 equals \$2,320). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1921 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in teacher education average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 2.5 percent or an average of .8 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of teacher education (5.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.2 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of teacher education.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in teacher education is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 28.1 percent vs. 36.0 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is lower at the professor rank than



at the assistant professor rank: 26.3 percent vs. 36.5 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in teacher education in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.8 percent (45/928) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.3 percent (49/929) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of teacher education was reported in 77 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 388 faculty was \$36,063, an average salary 19.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 68 of the same 337 private institutions reported teacher education. The average salary of the 417 faculty was \$40,800, an average salary 16.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in teacher education in the private institutions studies was 13.1 percent (\$40,800 minus \$36,063 equals \$4,737). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of teacher education over the three-year time period, is 4.7 percent or 1.6 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR



FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to teacher education (13.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 3.1 percent (13.1% minus 10.0% equals 3.1%) less than faculty in teacher education.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of teacher education, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 22.7 percent vs. 37.9 percent (1992-93); and 24.2 percent vs. 39.3 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in teacher education was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 6.9 percent (27/388) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 6.5 percent (27/417) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of teacher education and compares that information with both ALL
MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year"
of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 2,662
(1.4%) faculty in the discipline/major field of teacher education participated
and were included in the 51 disciplines /major fields in each of the four
studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212
public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.



Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of teacher education in 1992-93 were 11 percent and 16 percent below, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in teacher education in 1995-96 were 13 percent and 14 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in teacher education in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .8 percent below the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.6 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in teacher education, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of teacher education is still an emerging discipline/field in academia.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of teacher education has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including visual and performing arts. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the creation and interpretation of works and performances that use auditory, kinesthetic, and visual phenomena to express ideas and emotions in various forms, subject to aesthetic criteria.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p.161--50).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the



487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 32.9 for associate professors of visual and performing arts in the 1992-93 public study means that 32.9 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of .89 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 11 percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASSO ASST ASST PROF PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Visual and Performing Arts AVERAGE SALARY: 49584 197 4.8 38970 231 56 31905 239 54 29957 26348 17 11 36 16 38896 703 64 FAC MIX PCT: 28.0% 32.9% 34.0% 2.4% 5.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.91 0.89 0.89 0.86 0.98 0.89 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 21.2 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Visual and Performing Arts AVERAGE SALARY: 54488 210 48 42537 246 57 34898 219 54 33147 34 24 28335 42 20 42872 717 67 FAC MIX PCT: 29.3% 34.3% 30.5% 4.7% 5.9% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.91 0.90 0.90 0.91 0.97 0.90 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Visual and Performing Arts AVERAGE SALARY: 44649 29 56 37311 93 45 30822 79 44 32363 27604 14 11 36329 242 65 FAC MIX PCT: 23.1% 38.4% 32.6% 3.7% 5.8% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.82 0.88 0.88 0.99 0.95 0.84 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Visual and Performing Arts AVERAGE SALARY: 51608 45 29 41314 90 48 34699 69 36 34712 13 10 29411 13 40632 217 59 FAC MIX PCT: 20.7% 41.5% 31.8% 6.0% 6.0% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.86 0.89 0.91 0.96 0.97 0.86 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 37984 11222 SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 36092 1807 30425 1.684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts was reported in 64 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 703 faculty was \$38,896. This average salary was approximately 12.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, visual and performing arts was reported in 67 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 717 faculty was \$42,872. This average salary was approximately 11.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts in the public institutions studied was 10.2 percent (\$42,872 minus \$38,896 equals \$3,976). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in visual and performing arts average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.8 percent or an average of .6 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts (10.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.1 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in visual and performing arts is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 28 percent vs. 34 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is lower at the professor rank



than at the assistant professor rank: 29.3 percent vs. 30.5 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in visual and performing arts in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.4 percent (17/703) vs. 4.1 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 4.7 percent (34/717) vs. 4.6 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts was reported in 65 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 242 faculty was \$36,329, an average salary 18.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 59 of the same 337 private institutions reported visual and performing arts. The average salary of the 217 faculty was \$40,632, an average salary 16.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in visual and performing arts in the private institutions studies was 11.8 percent (\$40,632 minus \$36,329 equals \$4,303). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of visual and performing arts over the three-year time period, is 3.4 percent or 1.3 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR



FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,336). In comparison to visual and performing arts (11.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.8 percent (11.8% minus 10.0% equals 1.8%) less than faculty in visual and performing arts.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 23.1 percent vs. 32.6 percent (1992-93); and 20.7 percent vs. 31.8 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in visual and performing arts was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.7 percent (9/242) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 6 percent (13/217) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of visual and performing arts and compares that information with
both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the
"baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two
studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of
four studies. A total of 1,879 (1%) faculty in the discipline/major field of
visual and performing arts participated and were included in the 51 disciplines
/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712



participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts in 1992-93 were 11 percent and 16 percent below, the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in visual and performing arts in 1995-96 were ten percent and 14 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in visual and performing arts in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .6 percent above the cost-of-living. In contrast, in the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.3 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in visual and performing arts, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of visual and performing arts is still an emerging discipline/field in academia.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 public and private studies was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public and private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the



academic discipline/major field of visual and performing arts has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



NOTICE

REPRODUCTION BASIS

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.
This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").

